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Party, Philosophy & Discipline

Which is a good party? Evidently the one that is not simply a collection of individuals but is a body corporate with a distinctive purposeful existence, different from its desire to capture power. Political power should be a means rather than an end to the members of such a party. There should be devotion to a cause in the rank and file of the party. Devotion leads to dedication and discipline. Discipline does not mean simply outward conformity to certain do's and don'ts. The more you impose discipline from above the less is the internal strength of the party. Discipline is to a party what Dharma is to a society.

Let the different political parties try to evolve a philosophy for themselves. Let them not be mere conglomerations of persons joined together for some selfish ends. It should be something different from a commercial undertaking or a joint stock company. It is also necessary that the philosophy of the party is not kept confined to the pages of the party manifesto. Members should understand it and devote themselves to translating it into action.

The question of discipline in the rank of a party is important not only to keep the party in perfect health but also because of its bearing on the conduct of the people in general. A government is primarily an instrument of conservation and protection and not of destruction, or change. To inculcate reverence for law in the people demands that the parties who aspire to be guardians of law should themselves set an example in this direction. The essence of democracy is a spirit of, and capacity for, self-governance. If the parties cannot govern themselves how can they hope to create in the community a desire for self-governance? While on the one hand it is essential for the community to guarantee and protect individual freedom it is desirable, on the other hand, for the individual to willingly submit to the general will. The greater this submission the less will be the coercive power of the State. In a party whose affairs are regulated not by any state law but by the decisions voluntarily accepted by the party units, one can set an example of how best individual freedom and social responsibility can be balanced. It is, therefore necessary for the parties to prescribe a code of conduct for their members and to strictly follow it.
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- किसानों को सुख्ष्म कवच : अमित शाह
Politics of Vulturism cannot last against Politics of Aspiration

The violence in Kaliachak in Malda in the first week of 2016 is the latest expressions of the shrinking democratic and political space in the state of West Bengal. The Mamata Banerjee led TMC government has in fact singularly failed to ensure the safety and security of the Hindus of the state and is gradually ceding space to violent groups – a number of these coming from its own ranks and file. A Chief Minister, who refuses to condemn violence that mocks and belittles the democratic and constitutional framework of India and who passes such violent acts as small incidents and distorts its truth, has actually failed to carry out the mandate given by the people.

The Kaliachak episode has revealed the dismal state of West Bengal and has also exposed those who made a lot of din in the name of intolerance. A large number of those who cried and indulged in falsities by trying to paint an intolerant India were silent or looked the other way when the Kaliachak rampage took place. Their silence spoke on their behalf and exposed them for what they truly are merchants of falsehood and division surviving on a divisive narrative.

The CPIM and the other constituents of the Left Front in general have kept silent too. Like have the majority of Left and so called ‘liberal’ intellectuals and other political parties. The homily for social harmony is applied or given only to some parties and is reserved only for one leader. The silence of the communists, Congress can be explained because it was during their rule that infiltration in West Bengal increased or rather was encouraged and a general atmosphere of lawlessness prevailed. Both the Communists and the TMC have only resorted to vote-bank politics in the state without really caring for its welfare – industrial, economic, educational.
Real change has not come to West Bengal; it is only an illusory change which has not positively altered the dynamics of the state. The industrial situation has not improved; educational institutions bear the brunt of factional political feud between groups of the Trinamool Congress, the condition of the tea garden workers have not improved, starvation deaths, farmers suicide, lawlessness – the mowing down of an IAF jawan is the latest expression of how the atmosphere has deteriorated in the state and of how arresting the culprits was being inordinately delayed just because he was politically well-connected. Even the lives of our jawans thus are not sacred for Mamata Banerjee’s government.

Meanwhile efforts for addressing fundamental challenges and issues have been initiated under Prime Minister Modi. The insurance cover for farmers, the Startup & Standup India campaign, the rapid pace of rural electrification and road construction clearly demonstrate how the Narendra Modi led BJP government is indeed conscious of the plight of farmers of this country and has pledged itself to alleviate their condition and strengthen it, is pledged to ameliorate and connect rural India with energy and power. Such an approach is in stark contrast to some political parties who have just paid lip service to the cause of farmers and used them as political pawns and ultimately even fired upon them.

This issue also examines the crucial visit that Prime Minister Modi made to Afghanistan and also discusses the importance of the visit of the Indian defence minister to the U.S. in December 2015. Both events were major milestones in India’s neighbourhood and defence policy and both these have not seen adequate discussion.

Politics of vulturism cannot in the long run stand against the politics of aspiration and fulfilment. Those indulging in the former are in fact doing a major disservice to India.

— Dr. Anirban Ganguly, Director, SPMRF
Salient points of PM Modi's speech at the launch of Start-Up India, Stand-Up India programme

» जब Start-up की चर्चा होती है तो ज्यादातर IT के आस-पास ही सोचा जाता है और अब हर कुछ कितने कदम दूर है, बस App के पास पहुंच गए हो, गया। जो App बनाता है या जो Start-up की दुनिया में enter होता है या कोई नई चीजें को innovate करता है उसके मूल में कुछ नया करने का इरादा होता है, adventurous nature होता है। हर चीज की गहराई में जाने का स्व भाव होता है लेकिन सबसे बड़ी बात होती है उसके अंदर एक संबंधना होती है। बहुत कम लोग इस बात को अनुभव कर पाएंगे, उसके अंदर एक संबंधना होती है और जब वो कोई वृद्ध हाल देखता है, कोई समस्या देखता है तो वो संसार नहीं देते। समस्याओं उसकी नहीं हैं, किसी और की है लेकिन वो उसे सोने नहीं
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quality education की। गरीब से गरीब दूर—सुदूर जंगलों में रहना वाला व्यक्ति हो, पहाड़ों में रहने वाला व्यक्ति हो। मैं ऐसे कई चीजों को खोजने की निकाय कि उसी quality का education जो कि अमीर घरानों के बच्चों को मिलता है, उस technology के द्वारा गरीब से गरीब तक मैं कैसे पहुंचाऊँ? और देश तब बदलेगा कि हम सामाजिक के आंदोलनों को इन खुदकथाओं से लाभमित करके उसकी जिन्दगी बदलने का अवसर दे। हमें उसका मिसाल करने की जरूरत नहीं पड़ेगी। अगर उसको quality education मिलता है तो वहाँ भी ऐसे चंता वाले बच्चे होंगे जो उदय के ख़बर हो जाएंगे और दुनिया को बदल देंगे।

ये भी सही है भारत के पास millions of millions problem है, कोई इकाई की वजह सकता है। लेकिन at the same time millions of billions mind भी है और million problem है तो billion mind भी है। हर Start-up के पीछे कोई न कोई समस्या के समाधान का इरादा रहना चाहिए और जब किसी Start-up के पास समस्या के समाधान का इरादा होगा तो उसका संतोष का समाधान भी कहीं कुछ और होगा। जब किसी की जिन्दगी में बदलाव लाता है तो उसको एक

Start-up का मतलब ये तो नहीं है कि हर किसी के पास बितियन डोंटर का काम हो। था है और दो हालाँकि लोग काम करने, जरूरी नहीं है। पांच लोगों को भी अगर मैं रोजगार देता हूँ तो मेरा Start-up मेरे देश को अगर बदल रहा है। एक खेड़बीत सवर्णवस्तु विदेशी लाना है कि लवनजी के दिमाग में job seeker की मानसिकता से उसको बाहर लाना है। वो job creator बने। और एक वर्ष उसके दिमाग में आ गया कि मुझे, मुझे दो लोगों की जिन्दगी को संभालना है, वो कर लेगा।

हमारे देश में education- infrastructure पर बल दिया जाता है, teacher की appointment पर बल दिया जाता है, वो काम हो। बहुत समय की मांग है...
satisfaction मिलता है और इसलिए मैं वो कुछ करके दिखाए जिसमें मैं job creator बनूँ। मैं वो कुछ करके दिखाए जिसके कारण किसी की जिन्दगी में मैं काम आऊँ, मैं वो कुछ करके दिखाए कि मेरे देश को एक कदम आगे ले जाने में मेरा भी कोई योगदान हो। इतना भी अगर सपना लेकर के हम चलते, हम बहुत कुछ कर सकते हैं।

एक और problem रहता है Start-Up के लोगों के लिए.... patent का Intellectual property right सबके लिए ये एक बात रहती है आचित्वक वो ही होता है Start-Up वालों की, अगर उसको protection नहीं मिला लेकिन कभी-कभी हमारे यहाँ patent के लिए महीनों लग जाते हैं process में, ये चिंताजनक व्यवस्था को बदलना है और patent registration का शीघ्र पता लगाना एवं कानूनी सहायता। हम नए प्रयोगों को चलानेमंज़य और कानूनी सहायता देने का आवश्यकता समझते हैं। हम Start-Up के IPR Services की Scheme ला रहे हैं। जिसमें Start-Up के आवेदन की File शीघ्र करने की सहायता मिलती और ये भी सही है कि Intellectual property की दुनिया में, विश्व में जितने registration हुए हैं, उसमें काफी पीछे हैं हम लोग। IP में नहीं है लेकिन YP में है। हमारे पास Youth Property है और यो यूपी और IP को मिलाना है ताकि हमें कुछ ज्यादा परिणाम मिलें। हम ये भी एक सोच रहे हैं कि भारत के प्रमुख शहरों में एक इस काम के लिए facilitation की व्यवस्था हो, स्थानीय Chamber of Commerce हों और लोगों के साथ मिलकर के, इस विषय के वकीलों का एक समूह हो। इन सबको जोड़कर के कैसे निशुल्क व्यवस्था हो ताकि इस field में काम करने वाले वकीलों का संख्या बहुत कम है और Fee भी बहुत ज्यादा है और उसको लगता है कि भई इस काम के लिए मैं इसने रूपए दूँ और करता रहूँ, करता रहूँ और उतनी, उसकी property चोरी हो जाती है, बेचारा कहीं का रहता नहीं है तो इसलिए बिना ऐसे उसको ये व्यवस्था मिले। उसी प्रकार से Fees में भी, आज जो Fees है patent के लिए उसमें हम 80 percent reduction करेंगे क्योंकि देखिये भारत का पाई, भी Innovation और creativity में है और Innovation और creativity को जितना हम बल देंगे, उतना ही हमें परिणाम मिलेगा। हम Start-Up के लिए सार्वजनिक खेती की शारीरिक भी छोटे देने का निर्णय कर रहे हैं। सरकारी खेती में Start-Up को बढ़ावा देने के लिए हम Experience Turn over के आधार पर भी छोटे देंगे। हमारे देश में क्या problem है, कोई भी काम है तो पूछते हैं इतना Turn over है, वो कहता है मौका दोगे तभी तो Turn over शुरू होगा या कोई काम देंगे तो पूछते हैं कि भई कितना experience है तो वो कहता है कि भई experience के शुरूआती तो करो किसी से और इसलिए नए के लिए दरवाजे बंद करने की व्यवस्था है, हम उसमें छोटे देने की व्यवस्था में आगे बढ़ने चाहते हैं ताकि quality को, हम उसमें compromise नहीं होना चाहिए लेकिन नया है इसलिए उसको मौका न मिले तो स्थिति तो बदलती है तभी नौजवानों को अवसर मिलेगा लेकिन मेरा आया है Zero defect and Zero effect तो इस पर हम जरूर बल देंगे।

PM MODI’S VISION

Narendra Modi
Salient points of PM Modi’s address at the National Youth festival

» अगर जीवन में संकल्प का सामर्थ्य हो, संकल्प के लिए समर्पित भाव हो और जीवन आहूति करने की अदभुत इच्छा हो, तो व्यक्ति के लिए उम्र कोई मायना नहीं रखती।

» यह देश प्रगति तब करता है जब सवा सी करोड़ देशवासी किसी न किसी संकल्प से बंधे हो। उस संकल्प की पूर्ति के लिए कुछ कदम चलने के लिए प्रयासरत हो। मंजिल को पाने के लिए अभिरत कोशिश करते हो, तो देश अपने आप उस मंजिलों को पार कर जाता है।

» आज पूरे विश्व का पतिक देखे पूरा विश्व आज भारत की तरफ एक बड़ी आशा भरी नजर से देख रहा है। क्यों? इसलिए कि हिन्दुस्तान एक संभावनाओं का देश है।
आपार अवसर जहाँ इंतजार कर रहे हैं।

दुनिया इससे हिंदुस्तान की तरफ देख रही है, क्योंकि आज हिंदुस्तान विश्व का सबसे युवा देश है। 65 प्रतिशत जनसंख्या 35 से कम आयु की हो, वो देश कितना सीमांगल शाली है कि जिसके पास कोटी-कोटी युवा लोग हैं। और जहां युवा होता है, वहां संकल्पों की कोई मयादाएँ नहीं होतीं, सीमाएँ नहीं होतीं।

मैं कभी-कभी सोचता हूँ कि युवा यह परिस्थिति का नाम नहीं है। युवा यह मनस्थिति का नाम है। मनस्थिति है, जो युवा की परिवार योग होती है। जब कोई व्यक्ति अपने बीते हुए पल को बार-बार याद करता है, दोहराता रहता है तो मैं यह सीधा-सीधा अर्थ निकालता हूँ कि वो अपनी युवावसी क्या चुका है। वो बुझापे की आरे चल चुका है। लेकिन जो बीते हुए कल को बार-बार दोहराने की बजाय आने वाले कल के सपने संजोता रहता है, वो उसके लिए मेरा मन हमेशा कहता है वो सच्चे अर्थ में युवा है। अगर आप अपने आप को युवा मानते हो तो युवा वो है जो बीते हुए कल की बातों को दोहरा करके अपने समय को बर्बाद नहीं करता है, लेकिन जो आपने बाले सपनों को संजोने के लिए पल-पल प्रयास करता है और हर सपने को साकार करने के लिए अपने आप को ख्यात देता है।

भारत के पास सब कुछ हो, धन हो, दोलत हो, बेशुभर पैसे हों, हर नीजवान को नीकरी हो, हर परिवार में सुख और सम्पन्नता हो, लेकिन, लेकिन अगर देश में शांति, एकता और सद्भावना नहीं होती, तो वो सारी समस्या किसी के काम नहीं आएगी। न वो देश का गौरव बढ़ाएगी, न अने वाली पीढ़ियों के लिए भविष्य का कोई रास्ता बनाएगी। और इससे हम विकास कितना ही करें, कितनी ही उचाइयों को पार करें, लेकिन शांति, एकता और सद्भावना, ये भारत की पहली आवश्यकता रहती है। और भारत ने दुनिया को दिखाया है कि जिस देश के पास सैकड़ों बलियाँ हो, अनेक भाषाएं हों, अनेक परम्पराएं हों, अनगिनत विविधताएं हों, उसके बाद भी साथ जीने-मरने का सच्छाय हो, ये हमारी बहुत बड़ी विरासत है जो हमारे पूर्वजों ने हमें दी हैं, स्वामी विवेकानंद जैसे महापुरुषों ने दी हैं और इसे हमने संजो के रखा है। वेद से विवेकानंद तक और उपनिषद से उपन्यास तक हम इसी परम्परा में पत्ते-बढ़े हैं। उस परम्परा को बार, बार, बार, बार स्मरण करते हैं, संजोते हैं भारत को एकता के पूर्व में बांधने के लिए सद्भावना को सेतु उसको हम जितना बल दें, देते रहना होगा।

मैं चाहता हूँ कि जो लोग कुछ कर मुझसे चाहते हैं, वे नीकरी की तलाश करें, अपने पैरों पर खड़े रहें क्यों न हो। मैं नहीं चाहता हूँ मेरे देश का नीजवान job seeker बने, मैं चाहता हूँ मेरे देश का नीजवान job creator बने।
With back-to-back droughts, and unseasonal rain and hail in certain pockets, it became clear that the risks in farming are on the rise, and the existing system of crop insurance was nowhere near meeting the needs of the peasantry. The sums insured were low (based on the cost of inputs rather than prospective income), the premiums high (generally ranging between 8-12 per cent in the case of the modified scheme), the assessment of crop damage lacked transparency and didn’t use the latest technologies and, finally, compensation took unduly long, even going beyond a year in many cases, and was reported to be ridden with corrupt practices. Given this backdrop, the new scheme is a step in the right direction and very timely.

The NDA government’s flagship crop insurance scheme - the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Beema Yojna - is a major policy outreach towards farmers apart from its other big schemes for the farming sector. The most crucial element of the scheme is that it will bring down the rate of premium to be paid by farmers to a maximum of 2% of the sum insured. The rest will be paid by the state and the central government. Currently, farmers have to pay a premium ranging from 4 to 15 per cent to insure crops.

The Rs 17,600-crore crop insurance scheme, launched by the Modi government, aims to cover crop losses
due to natural calamities like drought by promising very low premium payout by farmers. The scheme will be rolled out from the kharif season beginning June. It has the lowest premium, it entails easy usage of technology like mobile phone, quick assessment of damage and disbursement within a timeframe. The definition of disaster has been expanded to include aspects like flooding of crop and damage after harvest.

After coming to power, the Modi government had announced that it would bring a new crop insurance scheme by replacing the existing ones to ensure higher coverage of crop area at low premium and better claim settlement facilities. In the past also there have been crop insurance schemes in the country for long. In 1999, the then NDA government had launched National Agricultural Insurance Scheme (NAIS) which was widely hailed across the farming community and later on that scheme was modified by the successive government. PM Modi’s scheme assumes significance in the backdrop of last year’s hailstorm which had ruined a lot of crop in the fields.

Going by government data, as many as 207 districts in nine states have been hit by drought. As much as 90 lakh hectare of land had been affected due to drought and the affected states had sought relief of over Rs 25,000 crore from the central government. Also 302 districts in the country had received 20 percent less rain, which, though not categorised as drought, will affect the farmers in these areas. Announcing the scheme, PM Modi described the scheme wherein the centre will provide Rs 8,800 crore annually to make up for almost all of the premium for the crop insured, as a move “that will transform the lives of the farmers in a big way”.

Under the scheme Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY), the state will also provide a matching contribution while farmers will pay only 2% of the premium fixed by the insurance company for kharif foodgrains/oilseeds crops and 1.5% for rabi foodgrains/oilseeds crops. The premium will be 5% for horticultural and commercial crops for both seasons. This new crop insurance scheme will have lowest premium for farmers in the history of Independent India. There will be no provision of capping the premium rate so as to ensure farmers get a higher claim against the full sum insured. At least 25 per cent of the likely claim will be settled directly on farmers’ bank account.

The new scheme will cover yield loss of standing crops, prevented sowing/planting risk, post harvest losses and localised risks, including inundation. At present, loanee farmers are mandated to take crop insurance cover. The new scheme is open to all farmers irrespective of whether they are loanees or not. There will be one insurance company for the entire state, farm-level assessment of loss for localised risks and post-harvest loss. And private insurance companies,
along with the Agriculture Insurance Company of India Ltd, will implement the scheme.

Here is a direct comparison between the crop insurance schemes announced by the Manmohan Singh-government and the one announced by the Narendra Modi government:

The scheme is certainly the best for the farmers till date as it provides for localized events and removes the cap. Apart from this, another major aspect of the scheme is the better use of technology. The government will use remote sensing, Smartphone and other modern technologies for accurate and quicker information on crop yields and losses.

The highlights of this scheme are as under:

1) There will be a uniform premium of only 2% to be paid by farmers for all Kharif crops and 1.5% for all Rabi crops. In case of annual commercial and horticultural crops, the premium to be paid by farmers will be only 5%. The premium rates to be paid by farmers are very low and balance premium will be paid by the Government to provide full insured amount to the farmers against crop loss on account of natural calamities.

2) There is no upper limit on Government subsidy. Even if balance premium is 90%, it will be borne by the Government.

3) Earlier, there was a provision of capping the premium rate which resulted in low claims being paid to farmers. This capping was done to limit Government outgo on the premium subsidy. This capping has now been removed and farmers will get claim against full sum insured without any reduction.

4) The use of technology will be encouraged to a great extent. Smart phones will be used to capture and upload data of crop cutting to reduce the delays in claim payment to farmers. Remote sensing will be used to reduce the number of crop cutting experiments.

The new Crop Insurance Scheme is in line with One Nation – One Scheme theme. It incorporates the best features of all previous schemes and at the same time, all previous shortcomings and weaknesses have been removed.

(Siddharth Singh is Research Associate with SPMRF)
1.) Defining the phrase ‘StartUp’
While it is not exactly part of action plan, this probably is the biggest positive change that has been bought about by the Modi Government – Defining the phrase ‘Startup’. Now, Startups will be ‘Startups’ and not a ‘Business’. Till date a Startup was not separated in various policies of the Government. All were businesses. Now, we have definition for a startup and a clear direction for them from Government. The most encouraging aspect is that Government is now looking at startups as a serious growth engine for India and wants to
help them achieve success.

2.) **Compliance Regime based on Self-certification**

Government wants to reduce the regulatory burden on Startups thereby allowing them to focus on their core business and keep compliance cost low. Startups shall be allowed to self-certify compliance (through the Startup mobile app) with 9 labour and environment laws (refer below). In case of the labour laws, no inspections will be conducted for a period of 3 years.

3.) **Startup India Hub**

With Startup India Hub, Govt wants to create a single point of contact for the entire Startup ecosystem and enable knowledge exchange and access to funding. They will work in a hub and spoke model and collaborate with Central & State governments, Indian and foreign VCs, angel networks, banks, incubators, legal partners, consultants, universities and R&D institutions.

Govt will also help startups through their lifecycle with specific focus on important aspects like obtaining financing, feasibility testing, business structuring advisory, enhancement of marketing skills, technology commercialization and management evaluation.

4.) **Rolling-out of Mobile App and Portal**

Govt plans to roll out a Mobile app that will help startups quickly get off the ground. The app features will include registering Startups with relevant agencies of the Government though a single form. The app will also have
features like tracking the status of Registration, downloading registration certificate, filing compliances, obtaining clearances and approvals among other things.

5.) **Legal Support and Fast-tracking Patent Examination**

   To promote awareness and adoption of IPRs by Startups, Government is taking various steps that includes fast-track examination of patent applications and rebate in fees. They will also help startups by providing panel of facilitators to assist in filing of IP applications and the cost will be borne by the Government.

6.) **Relaxed Norms of Public Procurement for Startups**

   Going forward, norms for Startups will be relaxed when they are applying for Tenders floated by PSU. Earlier, this was not possible as all tenders require either “prior experience” or “prior turnover”. Now, this will not be a roadblock anymore.

7.) **Faster Exit for Startups**

   Government plans to make it easier for startups to close and exit their businesses in case they are winding up operations.

8.) **Providing Funding Support through a Fund of Funds**

   While Government will not directly invest or fund startups, they will be doing it through Fund of Funds with a corpus of Rs. 10,000 Crore. The Fund of Funds shall be managed by a Board with private professionals drawn from industry bodies, academia, and successful Startups.

9.) **Credit Guarantee Fund for Startups**

   In order to overcome traditional Indian stigma associated with failure of Startup enterprises in general and to encourage experimentation among Startup entrepreneurs, Government plans to provide credit guarantee comfort with flow of Venture Debt from the formal Banking System.

10.) **Tax Exemption on Capital Gains**

    Government plans to provide Tax exemptions to persons who have capital gains during the year, if they have invested such capital gains in the Fund of Funds recognized by the Government.

11.) **Tax Exemption to Startups for 3 years**

    In what could be termed as one of the most positive moves, exemption for Income tax shall be given on profits generated by startups for a period of first 3 years.

12.) **Tax Exemption on Investments above Fair Market Value**

    Currently, only the investments by venture capital funds in Startups are exempted from operations of provision under section 56(2). Now, the same shall be extended to investment made by incubators and angel investors in the Startups.
13.) Organizing Startup Festivals
Govt plans to organize one national and one international startup festival annually for Showcasing Innovation and Providing a Collaboration Platform.

14.) Launch of Atal Innovation Mission
Govt will provide a platform for promotion of world-class Innovation Hubs, Grand Challenges, Startup businesses and other self-employment activities, particularly in technology driven areas. This will be done through Atal Innovation Mission (AIM).

15.) Harnessing Private Sector Expertise for Incubator Setup
Government intends to create a policy and framework for setting-up of incubators across the country in public private partnership. 35 new incubators in existing institutions will be setup along with funding support of 40% shall be provided by Central Government.
Government will also provide grant of 50 percent for 35 new incubators established by private sector.

16.) Building Innovation Centres at National Institutes

17.) Setting up Research Parks
The Government shall set up 7 new Research Parks at IIT Guwahati, IIT Hyderabad, IIT Kanpur, IIT Kharagpur, IISc Bangalore, IIT Gandhinagar, and IIT Delhi with an initial investment of INR 100 crore each. The research Parks shall be modeled based on the Research Park setup at IIT Madras.

18.) Promoting Startups in the Biotechnology Sector
To foster and facilitate bio-entrepreneurship, 5 new Bio-clusters, 50 new Bio-Incubators, 150 technology transfer offices and 20 Bio-Connect offices will be set up in research institutes and universities across India.

19.) Innovation Focused Programs for Students
In order to promote research and innovation among young students, the Government shall implement various innovation programs including Innovation Core, NIDHI and Uchhattar Avishkar Yojana.

20.) Annual Incubator Grand Challenge
To build World Class incubators in India, Govt plans to launch an annual Incubator Grand Challenge to identify leading Incubators in India.

Kudos to the Government for coming up with a Startup action plan that really touches on every facet of India’s Startup Ecosystem. With such big help from the Government, there is no doubt, India’s Startup ecosystem has the potential to be the best in the world.

(SPMRF Desk)
Prime Minister Narendra Modi was in Kabul on December 25th to jointly inaugurate the parliament building built by the Indian government with Afghan President Ashraf Ghani. His visit was a big hit in the Afghan media, especially on social media. The government of Afghanistan decided to name one of the blocks of the building after former Indian Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee, who had offered to build this powerful symbol of democracy as a sign of Afghanistan-India friendship and partnership. It was in Vajpayee’s time that India re-engaged with Afghanistan in the aftermath of the US intervention that dislodged the Taliban in late 2001. The pace of engagement accelerated almost immediately, and despite fatal attacks on Indian targets including diplomats, development staff and projects, has maintained its momentum.

That India has emerged as a major development partner, arguably the fifth-largest bilateral donor over the 14 year period, to Afghanistan should not have come as a surprise to analysts who have worked on Afghanistan on the ground. India’s development partnership with Afghanistan goes back to the 1950s, and till transit trade through Pakistan was not an issue, India was Afghanistan’s largest export market for dry fruits. The civil war (1992–96) and subsequent Taliban rule caused a break, however, India did extend humanitarian assistance through UN agencies.

India’s total commitment for the reconstruction of Afghanistan is US $2 billion, with more than half disbursed. It has funded/co-funded three very important infrastructure projects: the construction of the 218 km road from Delaram to Zaranj which gives Afghanistan access to an alternate port, Chahbahar in Iran; it has reconstructed and expanded the Salma Dam which would produce 42 MW of power and irrigate 75,000 hectares of land when fully commissioned by mid-2016. India has co-funded and built transmission towers over the Hindu Kush as part of the Northern Electric Power System (NEPS) that has brought electricity to Kabul and other areas. Some of the key Indian projects are the following: -

- Food assistance to primary school children and construction and rehabilitation of Schools ($321 million disbursed),
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Supply of 250,000 tonnes of wheat,
Construction of a power line from Pul-i-Khumri to Kabul ($120 million),
Annual scholarships to study in India – higher education (initially 500 per year, increased to 675 and then to 1000),
Construction of Delaram-Zaranj road ($150 million),
Construction of the Salma Dam Power Project (US $200 million),
Construction of the parliament building ($27 million disbursed; budget $178 million), and
Small Development Projects, initially in the South East, and then extended all over the country (final budget would be over US $130 million)

This is just a sample of India’s development partnership with the Afghan people and government. Yet, when the Afghan peace process has resumed at Islamabad, besides Afghanistan, the other three nations involved are Pakistan, the US and China. Predictably, many Indian observers are upset that this Quad has been created instead of a Pentagon to include India. Others may even fantasise a Quad with India but minus Pakistan. The question that arises is — has India lost the plot in Afghanistan? Has the commitment of US $2 billion in development assistance and actual expenditure of a little less than US $1.5
billion been a waste? Has the Modi government been totally outmanoeuvred by Pakistan insofar as Afghanistan is concerned?

The answer is an unequivocal ‘no’ and there is no need for Indians to get disheartened by the developments on the Afghan front. Both India and Afghanistan are victims of Pakistan’s state-sponsored terrorism, which while manageable in India’s case, is an existential threat to Pakistan. An unintended fall-out of the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan was to allow Pakistan to become the key arbiter in Afghan affairs. The US actively supported by Saudi Arabia and China armed different Afghan jihadi groups to take on the Russians; they also accepted Pakistan’s condition that all arms and support would flow to its Inter-Services Intelligence Directorate (ISI) who would decide on what to give to the different groups. Post-Soviet withdrawal, Pakistan was unable to install its favourite, the Hizbul-Islami leader Gulbuddin Hekmatyar as Afghanistan’s pre-eminent leader. It then shifted its substantial support to the rising jihadi group from Kandahar, the Taliban. The ouster of the Taliban in 2001 was huge setback to Pakistan’s plans in the region, but it was able to convince the Americans about its utility in the war on Terrorism and in the bargain, in the bargain it recreated the Taliban on its soil and went about destabilising Afghanistan.

There are three more factors, which must be factored in to better understand the objective circumstances of India’s Afghan policy. Pashtuns are Afghanistan’s biggest ethnic group, around 40% of the population, but there are more Pashtuns in Pakistan than in Afghanistan, with strong cross-border social and economic links joining them. Two, millions of Afghans, predominantly Pashtuns, took refuge in Pakistan during the Soviet invasion and the civil war, and while most have returned, millions have stayed back. This further blurs national boundaries and sovereignty. Three, Afghanistan is a land-locked country and the two countries not only share a long border. These historical, social, cultural, economic and geographical factors limit India’s ability to work with the Afghan State as it seeks to stabilise and develop Afghanistan.

Ashraf Ghani, when he became President, made it his policy to engage Pakistan as he understood that the road to peace in Afghanistan went through Rawalpindi, the headquarters of the Pakistan army. They had to be on board, either way. He, therefore, approached China, which is the only country to have leverage over the Pakistan army, and then went directly to Rawalpindi. He also kept on hold his predecessor’s request for security assistance from India. The resultant Pakistan-led peace process came to nought once it was clear that the Taliban negotiators had no locus standi with Mullah Omar who has been dead for two years. Pakistan’s perfidy stood exposed, and
became worse with the stepped up violence in Afghanistan that costs many civilian lives.

At this juncture Ghani approached India for security assistance. Modi to his credit responded positively though many analysts had raised doubts about Ghani’s motivations. Was he approaching India out of conviction or in desperation? India rightly ignored this question since it was immaterial. In any case unknown to the public since the media does not report positive developments, the Modi government had reviewed in detail the pace of progress of India’s development projects in Afghanistan. The parliament building and the reconstruction/expansion of the Salma dam came up short. Bureaucratic snafus were sorted out, updated project reports were prepared and adequate financial sources were provided for. But for these steps, both the projects would have continued to drag on to the utter embarrassment of those Afghans who see India as a reliable friend.

Detailed inter-government talks were held in November–December 2015 with the visits of the Afghan National Security Advisor (Hanif Atmar) and the Deputy Foreign Minister (Hekmat Karzai), and India’s positive response led to the Afghan invitation to the Prime Minister Modi to inaugurate their Parliament building. The significance of this was not lost on the Afghans and their neighbours— for a democratic country, its Parliament is not just a building but is an institution that embodies the spirit of the nations as it is here that its elected representatives decide the course of the nation. To invite a foreigner to jointly inaugurate it with their president is the highest honour that a country can bestow.

On the occasion of the visit, India also handed over to Afghanistan four Mi 25 helicopter gunships, known as ‘flying tank’ that would give the Afghan National Security Forces much needed firepower to confront the Taliban.

India also demonstrated that it was a reliable friend of Afghanistan when it deputed External Affairs Minister Sushma Swaraj to attend the Heart of Asia Summit in Islamabad, the Afghanistan-centred Istanbul Process where India has the lead on capacity
development and building synergies between national chambers of commerce. This had the ‘bonus’ advantage of allowing leaders of India and Pakistan to meet on the sidelines of this multilateral summit. This was nimble-footed diplomacy that was as much substantial as it was optics—both essential components of international affairs.

One must also understand the current peace initiative, or Quad. Afghanistan is heavily dependent on the US for its security and financial requirements, and cannot afford to go against express American advice. Unfortunately, for Afghanistan the US’s default option is to outsource its Afghan policy to Pakistan, which represents to them a systemically far more important country. The US’s priorities are clear—roll-back ISIS and manage China’s rise. The developing

Saudi-Iran spat could spiral out of control, leaving US policy in the region in tatters. Afghanistan is a distraction it can do without. Hence, they leaned on Ghani, not directly but through David Cameron. For Ghani, it was an offer he could not refuse.

For the region, China is the dark horse whose attitude and actions could be decisive, in addition to that of the US. It remains committed to Pakistan, despite occasional doubts that its fears the latter’s jihadi infection could drag down Xinjiang. This hope that China would rein in Pakistan is over-emphasised. China’s response to the Pathankot attack is instructive; it condemned the attack and hoped that it would not derail the India-Pakistan dialogue. It, pointedly, did not ask Pakistan to take action against the groups behind the attack or to act on India’s handing over evidence.

Looking forward, one must understand that India has very substantial goodwill in Afghanistan. Public pressure in Afghanistan led to the government renaming it as the India-Afghanistan Friendship Dam. This project is seen as hugely liberating western Afghanistan from dependence on foreign supply, will ensure reliable and consistent electric supply and has the potential to economically transform the region. Similarly, the naming of one of the blocks of the new parliament building as Atal block in honour of former Indian prime minister is symbolic in a country where symbols are very

India’s positive response led to the Afghan invitation to the Prime Minister Modi to inaugurate their Parliament building. The significance of this was not lost on the Afghans and their neighbours—for a democratic country, its Parliament is not just a building but is an institution that embodies the spirit of the nations as it is here that its elected representatives decide the course of the nation. To invite a foreigner to jointly inaugurate it with their president is the highest honour that a country can bestow.
important. A photo tweet showing the parliament building as India’s gift and another photo showing the destroyed Dar-ul-Aman palace in Kabul as Pakistan’s gift went viral in the Afghan social media. Over 10,000 Afghan youths have come to India’s on scholarship for higher education, and around the same number, using their own money. More than 7,000 have gone back to their country after studying in India. It must be remembered that India is the largest regional development partner; by contrast China’s support till date has been only US $125 million. The present writer can speak from personal experience that quite often India is seen as a role model in Afghanistan, both for firmly establishing democracy and for its economic achievements.

Soft power is important but it has its limitations. It cannot substitute for hard power, and is most effective in conjunction with the latter, not in lieu of it. However, it must be remembered that fundamentally, Afghan goals and that of Pakistan are irreconcilable. The Pakistani army, which calls the shots insofar as that country’s policies on India, Afghanistan and nuclear weapons are concerned, cannot settle for anything less than client state in Afghanistan. Its professed fear of an India-Afghanistan axis is a smokescreen for that. Worse, a plural, democratic Afghanistan at peace with itself is an existential threat for the garrison state that Pakistan has become. With two plural, peace-loving democracies on its eastern and western borders would question the whole rationale for the Pakistani army’s hegemony over domestic policies and recourses. Pakistan’s willingness, and ability, to rein in its jihadis who carry out terrorists attacks in India and destabilise Afghanistan is highly suspect.

Throughout this period, especially when Ghani seemed to have relied excessively on the Pakistani army to deliver, even given up almost all his cards, India acted with model restraint, as it did not want to be made a scapegoat in case things went wrong, which it had to. And in case, Pakistan could deliver peace in Afghanistan, this was to be welcomed. Going ahead, India must continue to display such mature reactions, not get carried away by unnecessary fears of being marginalised, and continue to support the legitimate Afghan government’s efforts to bring peace, stability and development to its people.

(The author is former Jt. Secretary in the Prime Minister’s Office, Power & Finance Secretary Govt. Of Delhi, Advisor to the Government of Afghanistan)
“India has done enough to simplify it’s defence procurement and other norms. It is time for US Govt and Industry to reciprocate. It is easy to blame Indian bureaucracy but in some cases, US bureaucracy is much worse” - Manohar Parrikar.

This was possibly one of the first when a visiting dignitary (December 2015) did plain talking in the US. When the Indian Defence Minister said this, he touched a topic, which has remained unspoken in India’s dealings with the US on defence cooperation. He was quick to add that US can add a lot of value to Indian industry and laid down the path ahead, especially towards indigenous manufacturing.

Parrikar went on to add that enough was done to facilitate ease of doing business in India. He urged US industry to come forward in collaborating with Indian companies. He stressed upon the fact that Indian industry today is capable of maintaining highest standards and could easily become an important part of the Global Supply Chain of American Defence companies.

During the trip, Minister Parrikar also stressed on the major reforms that have been brought in India in the Defence sector and urged the Government of United States and the industry to reciprocate. He stressed that greater cooperation in Defence sector is a win-win situation for both countries.

Discussions with Minister and Government officials were around issues and concerns of the industry from both sides. However, industry from both sides have expressed satisfaction over the outcome of their meetings. Indian industry is upbeat about Make in India and keenly looking forward to more inflow of US investment and technology into India. More technology and manufacturing tie-ups are likely to come up in the near future as well.

Indian industry members were also of the opinion that India should now also deliberate on what Indian Defence companies can offer to US companies rather than just seeking investment and technology from their side. Joint Ventures like Tata-Lockheed or Mahindra Telephonics are a testimony to the fact that Indian manufacturing companies...
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have come of age too and are ready to become an integral part of Global Supply Chain of top US defence companies.

This is a good development since the India-US defence partnership has been more about symbolism than substance for years. While the two countries conduct a spate of joint exercises across the three services, the previous United Progressive Alliance (UPA) government and especially its risk-averse defence minister AK Antony had prevented achieving full potential of their possible joint ventures.

However, Parrikar and his US counterpart Ashton Carter have already met thrice in the past one year. Parrikar’s recent US visit (December 2015) saw many firsts in Indo-US defence engagement. Parrikar began his visit from Hawaii, headquarter of the US Pacific Command, thus becoming the first Indian defence minister to do so. The Pacific Command, largest of the US commands is the fulcrum of America’s involvement in the Indo-Asia-Pacific, a new term being increasingly used to describe the vast stretch of area from Hawaii to India, covering most of Asia. He was also hosted on a US aircraft carrier, again a first for any Indian defence minister.

According to those who were privy to the discussions at the highest levels in Washington, the US defence establishment is now willing
to take a re-look at its laws that will help India get the best defence technology. The US is now in fact pushing co-production ventures with India too. In Ashton Carter, India perhaps has the friendliest US Secretary of Defence. As Deputy Secretary of Defence from October 2011 to December 2013, Carter personally pushed defence ties between the two countries. Indeed, the 2012 Defence Trade and Technology Initiative (DTTI) was his brainchild. DTTI is now up and running again after lying dormant for the past couple of years.

During the DTTI Group’s fourth meeting at the Pentagon recently, the two sides committed to executing the project plans for two government-to-government pathfinder projects: the Mobile Electric Hybrid Power Sources (MEHPS) and the Next Generation Protective Ensemble (NGPE). Two other projects are nearing finalisation: terms of reference for the Jet Engine Technology Joint Working Group are ready, and the second meeting of the Joint Working Group on Aircraft Carrier Technology Cooperation (JWGACTC) will be held in February 2016.

The US side is arguing for India to sign the three foundational agreements — Communications Inter-Operability and Security Memorandum of Agreement (CISMOA), LSA (Logistics Support Agreement), and the Basic Exchange and Cooperation Agreement for Geo-Spatial Cooperation (BECA) — considered essential by Washington to allow transfer of high-end technology to India. The US argument is that these agreements ease the conduct of joint military exercises besides expanding their scope and ambition.

Apparently, Parrikar has conveyed to US that India has an open mind to discuss the contours of these agreements, signaling a shift in the MoD’s thinking. The traditional reluctance so far was that any such pact goes against India’s posture of military neutrality. In fact, on UPA Defence Minister AK Antony’s watch, the MoD was even reluctant to allow a third country to participate in the India-US Exercise Malabar. Under the NDA regime however, Japan has been allowed to join the exercise and the arrangement is now formalised for a trilateral exercise to be held annually even at the cost of earning Beijing’s wrath. Modi and Parrikar, it appears have decided not be constrained by the traditional thinking in South Block and have given a go ahead for Indian negotiators to discuss the foundational agreements.

Despite the incremental progress, critics would want to see more substantive progress between India and the US. The building blocks have been assembled; both sides can now speedily build the superstructure in coming months before the change of administration in Washington DC in the next one year.

(The author is veteran journalist, national security analyst & author)
The concept of nation building is paramount to any country, especially for India which is gearing up for a period of rapid development. It is therefore important that the leaders of today sow the right seeds and do it well so that the course India is going to take is prosperous and equitable for all of us. It is also important to understand that various people in India have completely different aspirations and backgrounds. The one thing that can support and give shape to these dreams is education. It is the same education that has the potential to play a significant and remedial role in repairing the socio-economic fabric of the nation.

The Ministry of Human Resources and Development (MHRD) led by Ms. Smriti Irani is directing its efforts towards universalisation of education. To lay emphasis on the educational development of weaker sections of the society, the ministry has tried to implement setting up of monitoring committees on education for minorities, SCs, STs and Persons with Disabilities, student support
Initiatives for girls for secondary education, special scholarship scheme for Jammu & Kashmir, scheme of interest subsidy on educational loans and regulations on prevention of discrimination and establishment of ombudsman.

The first initiative of the government, after it came to power in May, 2014, was ‘Padhe Bharath, Badhe Bharath’. With the objective of improving learning outcomes, the program was structured along the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi, that of 3Rs - ‘Reading, Writing and Arithmetic’. The focus is on language development in order to make reading and writing efficient to facilitate good comprehension of the concepts and on teaching Mathematics in a way that promotes understanding and liking. The Midday Meal Scheme has been very instrumental in increasing the enrollment rate and pupil attendance. Efforts have been directed to increase the effectiveness of the program by incorporating some of the best practices of other states. In this pursuit, MHRD has decided to introduce greater public participation as well as involvement of religious and charitable institutions in its Mid-day Meal Scheme through the concept of “Tithi Bhojan” to ensure more effective community monitoring. This model, a success in Gujarat, has a protocol for standards in food safety to ensure quality and nutrition value of the meal. The community will be playing an important role in checking quality of ingredients and preventing contamination of any kind.

One of the major thrust areas of the government is that of pedagogy and teachers. The government has launched the ‘Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya National Mission on Teachers and Teaching’ with a corpus 900 Crores. The primary focus of the mission is to address and resolve all issues related to teaching, teachers, teacher training, designing curriculum, developing assessment methodologies and effective pedagogy. The mission will also focus on creating a strong workforce of teachers of high quality by setting performance standards and providing state of the art institutional facilities.

On 2nd October in 2014, the Prime Minister launched the Swacch Bharath Mission which is to be fulfilled by 2019 to commemorate the 150th birth anniversary of the father of the nation. The government has aptly chosen to disseminate the core values of the program through schools and educational institutions to effect such a behavioural change. The ‘Swacch Bharath, Swacch Vidyalay’ (Clean India, Clean Schools) campaign launched alongside primarily focusses on making accessible to every student a school having a set of functioning and well maintained water, sanitation and hygiene facilities. In this aspect, the school or the educational institution must be technically...
enabled with the entire required infrastructure, while at the same, have teachers incorporating the concepts of hygiene, health, nutrition and sanitation in classrooms and in day to day practice. The rationale behind this program is that a clean and a healthy school will result in improved health and less diseases which will in turn translate into improved attendance rates and lower drop-out rates. This will help the children fare better in their academics and push them to promote the same culture of health and hygiene in their respective communities. The Swachh Vidyayal campaign is also supported by the national flagship program Sarva Shiksha Abhiyaan and Nirmal Gram Puraskar. Over the years, it has been observed that girls tend to drop-out of school when there are no proper toilets and washing facilities are not private, not safe or simply not available. A lot of emphasis is laid on sanitation and building of appropriate gender-separated toilets in every school in order to curb drop-out rates of girls.

It is a good sign that the government is working towards education of girls. It is also actively using technology to identify the gaps that exist in the system. MHRD in collaboration with UNICEF has come up with a tool called Digital Gender Atlas for Advancing Girls’ education. The atlas will be particularly helpful in identifying the geographic pockets for girls which aren’t performing well, particularly from marginalized groups such as scheduled castes, schedule tribes and Muslim minorities, on specific gender related education indicators. It has been developed as a hands-on management tool with gender ranking systems, trend analysis of general performance metrics, comparative analysis of individual gender related indicators over years and this enables a visual assessment of the change. It is useful to know that the Gender Atlas can also use available data on education, health and census to provide quantitative analysis. This tool is available on the website and can be used by any interest groups to plan and execute educational interventions.

The government has announced a new scheme called ‘Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao’. Under this, an amount of Rs.100 Crores for 2015-16 has been earmarked to strengthen girls’ education in 100 districts selected on the basis of Child Sex Ratio. Apart from instituting district level awards to deserving students in these districts, self defence and life skills are also being taught to girls. Under SSA, the number of out of school children has come down to 5.9% from 8.2% among SCs and from 9.5% to 5.2% in the case of STs. In order to sustain these results, efforts are being channelised towards maintaining the existing infrastructure and building new ones apart from providing incentives in the form of uniform, books, cycles etc. The government has taken up the task of modernising the madrasas through...
the ‘Scheme to Provide Quality Education in Madrasa’ (SPQEM). Under SPQEM, the central government provides assistance to madrasas and maktabs (elementary schools) to make subjects like Science, Maths, Social Science, English, Hindi part of the curriculum. It has also been promoting programs like ‘Seekho aur Sikhao’ and ‘Padho Pardes’ in order to augment the socio-economic development in minority populated areas.

With changing times, India has to adapt and reinvent its pedagogy model and schooling systems. At the same time, the needs of the underprivileged, tribal children, economically weaker sections of the society have to be kept in mind. The advent of technology in education is proving to be a great boon but the major challenge is to take this technology to all sections of society so that in this fast-paced digital age, no one is left behind. While a majority of urban students (91.33%) have used computers, only 32.33% of the rural populace has been exposed to any kind of computer. The government needs to bridge this inequality in short time for the disparity between the two is fast growing.

Despite innovations in curriculum and developments in infrastructure, education will suffer so long as the main instruments of Education- the teachers- do not improve and adapt. Developing teacher training programs requires immense planning and management. If India has to be able to export quality teachers in the manner our Hon. Prime Minister envisions, we need to build capabilities of the teacher in a way that is rigorous and holistic. The challenge is to evolve a homogenous teacher training programs across the length and breadth of the country for focussed and consistent improvements in pedagogy.

Another major issue that we face as a nation is the way differently-abled children are not able to make maximum use of our education system. Notwithstanding the design paradigms that we have incorporated in educational institutions, hospitals and public places keeping the differently-abled in mind, we are still not able to maximise their capabilities. Our education curriculum is not yet suited to the needs of special children, children suffering from autism and those who are mentally challenged. Instead, there is immense stigma about these things in the schooling circles. If we are to make education inclusive, then we need to keep the needs of these children in mind. The focus should also be on sensitizing children about these disabilities and spreading awareness on how each one of us can make them feel included. It is extremely crucial that our education system must accentuate these behavioural changes that need to be brought about in schools. In his very first “Mann ki Baat”, Prime Minister Modi had discussed the challenges faced by differently-abled
people, especially children.

A recent report on gender parity by McKinsey, a global consultancy firm, points out that Indian women contribution to GDP is a dismal 17% of Indian GDP. It is a far cry from the global average of 37%. The report has computed a score on gender parity for 95 countries and finds that for India the scores on the Index are extremely low (<0.50 on a scale of 0-1, where 1 indicates gender parity) making her very ‘gender unequal’ at present. The major challenge of the next decade is how India is going to frame educational policies that will result in greater female participation in formal roles. For countries like India which has huge gender inequality, increasing gender parity could add as high as 60 percent to India’s GDP.
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India is faced with an exciting challenge—it needs to create over 1 million new jobs every month for the next 20 years to give employment to the 200 million youth who will join the work force. These jobs will have to come from new companies, and therein lies the paramount significance of creating a fundamental shift in the eco system of doing business in India.

In order to provide an impetus to the Indian entrepreneurial landscape, the Government launched the Startup India, Standup India campaign on 16th January, 2016. The initiative aims to set up a network of start-ups and provide a new dimension to entrepreneurship in the country. Prime Minister Narendra Modi himself launched the government’s Start-up India programme to encourage entrepreneurship in the country. While there has been commendable growth in the start-up ecosystem—India ranks third among global start-ups with 4,400 new-age companies—it is still concentrated in the technology domain and is located largely in Bangalore, Mumbai and the National Capital Region. The Prime Minister’s plan has now focused on extending it to other sectors and spread across the country.

One of the major bottlenecks in enabling start-ups is funding. In a NITI Aayog survey of economically backward youth, 97% respondents

"Start-ups are the engines of exponential growth, manifesting the power of innovation. Several big companies today are start-ups of yesterday."
stated that access to capital was a barrier to entrepreneurship. The Startup India of the Government has also tried to address regulatory issues like entry and exit norms, give tax incentives and help develop skill sets which will lead to innovations. As per the Action plan of Startup India, Industry too, will now collaborate with educational institutions to fund research and development and develop products that can help change society and lifestyle.

As Prime Minister Narendra Modi launched “Startup India, Stand Up India”, the focus of the Government is on rousing the entrepreneurial spirit among the country’s youth. The hope is that start-ups will, over time, manufacture state-of-the-art products, create jobs for the youth and generate wealth to investors. Over the past few years, the start-up momentum picked pace as funding into the sector grew 125%, from $2.2 billion in 2014 to $4.9 billion in 2015, as per a Nasscom report. That is much higher than the cumulative funding of $3.2 billion over 2010-14. The total number of active investors has also grown from 220 in 2014 to 490 in 2015. In the process, India has also emerged as the third largest hub globally after the US and the UK with 4,200 technology start-ups. That number is expected to exceed 12,000 by 2020.

The ease of opening businesses consistently marks as one of the most important variables across all Connecting talent with the required infrastructure helps improve the overall knowledge economy and creates new jobs. As internet connectivity and penetration improves, it plays a major role in boosting job generation and enabling newcomers to start successful business ventures.

With its new start-up policy, government is trying to sort out issues that ensure start-ups does not face any problems and get a conducive eco system to flourish. Young entrepreneurs seek, among other things, clarity in tax policies, increase in FDI limit in key sectors, single-window clearance, removal of tax on ESOPs and a quick GST roll-out. In its policy, Government has tried its best to move extra mile on all these issues. To feed into the start-up ecosystem, the government is also investing in educational institutions with a focus on skill development and also increasing its spending on infrastructure. The Central government push to Startup in India will provide the right policy direction to ensure that start-ups stay invested in India. The proposed Startup India, Standup India scheme can play a big role in extending the startup culture across the country by promoting the required blend of suitable policies, access to finance, incubation and inclusive ecosystem.

(Siddharth Singh is Research Associate with SPMRF)
Industrial Legacy of West Bengal – a perspective

Shwetabh Ranjan

West Bengal has had a very intriguing history when it comes to industries and industrialisation. From the period before independence, Kolkata (then Calcutta) and other places of West Bengal (then Bengal) have been the hub of many industries such as jute, textiles, tea, and fishery. Haldia, a small port town in the south of Kolkata was and is still considered to be the hub of exports from India. During the 1940s the industries in West Bengal were at such a peak that Bengal accounted for the highest number of industrial labours in the country. As per the statistical data of the 1960s, West Bengal was the second most industrialized state in the country. But soon after that the industrial sector of West Bengal came to a halt, with slow growth of industries eventually leading to a decline. This is supported by the fact that the total industrial output in West Bengal in the year 1980-81 was 9.8% and it declined to 5% in 1997-98.

The industrial sector of West Bengal is scattered over certain regions dominated by a particular kind of sector. The leather tanneries are located in Kolkata itself along with the fishery industry which accounts for the highest number of shrimp trade in the country. The steel and alloy industry is situated at Durgapur, the tea industry of Darjeeling and the jute mills in regions around the Hugli River, 64 kilometres away from Kolkata. Apart from these, West Bengal is also the hub of Sugar, chemical fertilizer and dried flowers industry.

Now the question which arises is that, in spite of having so many industrial hubs from the past, why has West Bengal failed to keep up its industrial output and growth. The average growth rate of the industrial sector in West Bengal slumped from 5.8% in early 2000s to 4.7% in 2009 bringing West Bengal from 10th to 23rd rank in terms of average industrial growth rate. If we take a broader view of the reasons as to why this happened, we can attribute it to use of outdated technology, manual labour in industries like jute being taken over by factories and neglect by the government. Since the 1980s when the agricultural production of West Bengal was given the utmost priority, the industrial sector faced a decline. The government failed to balance the growth of both these industries equally. Taking a more recent example of the industrial failures, in 2006, when the Buddhadeb Bhattacharya led Left front
government came to power it could not deliver many of its promises in the industrial sector. The failed TATA Nano plant project at Singur was a major influencing factor. The reason for the abandonment of the project was that the land was taken away from the farmers and adequate remuneration was not given. Also, that these farmers didn’t have any alternate occupation. But had the factory come up, these were the people who would have been working as labourers which they failed to understand. Even the Mamata Banerjee led Trinamool Congress government which came to power on the promise of getting the land back to the farmers failed to do so. So it was a lose-lose situation for everyone.

In January 2014, the TMC government however proposed an initiative to establish many industrial regions. 12 new industrial estates were proposed in the north of Bengal and a sum of Rupees 100 crores was marked for that. Even if 6 of them were properly operational, they could have given employment to around 50 thousand people which would have been a quantum jump in the direction of industrialization in West Bengal. But the implementation of these estates didn’t take place as expected. It didn’t find any positive light from any direction. A mini secretariat was opened at Siliguri to provide support for industrial development. On the question of quickening the development of the north Bengal a probable solution to this was proposed by the chairman of the CII of the North Bengal chapter who argued that the region should be included in the North East Council as it also hosts almost similar socio-geo- economical structure as North eastern states. Or at least West Bengal may offer similar benefits to investors as given by states in its northern border such as Sikkim. But no action was taken in this direction too and the Chief Minister Mamata Banerjee maintained her silence on it when she was expected to speak about it while inaugurating the secretariat at Siliguri.

The problem of industrialization of West Bengal is the inability to come up with a comprehensive industrial plan and vision and at the same time strike a fine balance between agriculture – this is important in a state where the entire political narrative based itself and evolved on farmer and land issues in the last four decades – and industrialisation. The affinity of farmers who account for the major vote bank in West Bengal towards their lands is so strong that it led to the fall of the 34 year old Communist party led government. The case of Nandigram where the government was trying to acquire land forcibly from the farmers, trying to convert it into a special economic zone was also a major influencing factor in the 2011 elections. What we can infer from these cases is that if the industrial sector of West Bengal has to be developed it cannot be done only by adopting a pro industry policy and ignoring the agricultural sector all
together. The elections of 2011 is the best example of this. Any government which wants to look after famers welfare as well as industrialize the state has to hit the exact balance between the two. Some steps towards these can be high remunerations in reciprocity to land acquisitions and promises of employment which have to be fulfilled. Because the people of the state are now aware of the hoax promises that the governments make and they show their resentment in the elections. The promises under “Singur Land Rehabilitation and Development Act” were not fulfilled either, the consequences of which may show in the upcoming elections of 2016.

It is this balance that seems to have always eluded West Bengal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>West Bengal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-96</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-97</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-98</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-99</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-01</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-02</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-03</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Growth Rate over 1994-95 to 2000-01: 6.7
Average Growth Rate over 2004-05 to 2011-12: 7.2
Improvement in Growth rate (%): 0.5
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Post the election result in Bihar, I was waiting eagerly for “Mahagathbandhan” to take office. I was irrationally hoping that the alliance would work out an agreement to deliver good governance to the people of Bihar. Though, I had serious doubts, and my earlier writings tried to make a case for giving the BJP led NDA a chance to govern the state towards prosperity, I restored my faith in the legitimate elected representatives. Even my conversations with few friends in Bihar reflected an uneasy calm with memories of a dark past re-emerging. This was an irony for me, especially when the state had given a resounding mandate to JDU (Janata Dal United) and RJD (Rashtriya Janata Dal) to govern for a five year period.

Unfortunately, my irrational optimism took a backseat as I started flipping newspapers of the past 2 months. To my surprise, newspaper headlines were filled with reports of incidents of abduction, kidnapping and murder. This is when I recall President Barack Obama’s recent state of the union address, “In a democracy we have to move towards a system where voters select the elected representatives, not the politicians choosing their voters”. This is akin to the vote bank phenomenon that we encounter in Indian political establishment. Unfortunately in Bihar, the conflicting self interest of the alliance partners is taking the state back to days of lawlessness.

**Facts**

The new government took charge on November 20, 2015. Since November there have been more than 23 cases of kidnapping, with higher number in December compared to November. The New Year rather than being a beacon of hope turned out to be a continuity of gloom for Bihar. Bihar welcomed the new year with the news of the couple being killed in Kanakpur village, arrests being made in Chhapra, and theft committed in Gaya. This was a continuum to the existing situation last year. The last year ended with the news of the kidnapped engineering student, who was traced after four days. The student had lodged a kidnapping case after his father received a ransom text. These incidents should not be seen in isolation, but in the context of change in government, where divided loyalties of the ruling establishment is a contributing catalyst towards deteriorating law and order problem in the state.

**What did Bihar vote for?**

The electorate had entrusted the current chief minister of Bihar to take the state forward on the development path. Any development can only be achieved once a state of security is a
norm in the society. The chief minister might have the intention to take the state ahead, but is it possible for him to reign in the “non-fringe” elements whose survival is contingent on keeping law and order in a relatively volatile position. Today, Bihar is seeking investment eagerly in power, roads, and manufacturing. Capital investment – public and private is critical for making Bihar the melting pot of economic activity especially agriculture, manufacturing and tourism. However, even if the state spends from its limited kitty to increase gross state capital/GDP ratio, crowding in of private investment can only happen after the law and order situation is improved. Private investment is needed to improve the power distribution company balance sheet in the state. A report of National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO) titled “Energy Sources for Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting” showed that about seven out of 10 rural households in the country had electricity. However, in Bihar, only about two houses and a half out of ten rural households have electricity. Moreover, it has the highest number of urban households without electricity connection. The World Bank, in its recent report (February 2015), claimed that two out of three people in Bihar do not have access to electricity. This sorry state of affairs has made an impact on the availability of education, health and employment opportunities in the state. Moreover, with the announcement of latest UDAY scheme by Ministry of Power and Renewable Energy, an initial revival corpus would be provided by the ministry but ultimately the burden to revamp the unacceptable state of power distribution and availability will fall on the state exchequer.

Rajesh Chakrabarti in his book “Bihar Breakthrough: the turnaround of a beleaguered state” points on the achievements of the current chief minister, when he was in a coalition with BJP led NDA. It is time the Chief Minister puts his foot down. The question is can he, when he is presiding over a partnership born out of differing ideologies and goals coming together for survival.

(Pradip Bhandari is a founder of Jan kibaat, the digital media start-up. He works for global village foundation, a public policy think tank working with Government of Haryana. He is also the recipient of young leader award, 1st Model youth parliament in 2014)
Disruptions in Rajya Sabha:
How the country lost precious time and resources

The Winter Session of Parliament which began on November 26th and ended on December 23rd saw Lok Sabha working for 98% of its scheduled time while Rajya Sabha, where the BJP led NDA is in minority, worked for only 51% of its scheduled time. The Rajya Sabha which was scheduled to work for 112 hours during the Winter Session lost 55 hours to disruption by the opposition led by the Congress Party. Important legislations like the Goods and Service Tax (GST) Bill could not be passed in this session, jeopardizing the reform which needs to be rolled out by the financial year starting April, 2016.

According to PRS Legislative Research, both houses of the Parliament were scheduled to function for a total of 226 hours in this session and consider 19 bills. During the session, the Lok Sabha passed 14 bills and registered 104% productivity by working overtime. But the Rajya Sabha was consistently adjourned due to protests by the opposition parties and functioned for only 51% of its scheduled time. While Rajya Sabha passed 7 Bills, 6 of these were passed without any discussion. Lok Sabha spent more time debating Bills as compared to Rajya Sabha in this session. Lok Sabha discussed 13 Bills for 28 hours, whereas Rajya Sabha discussed 9 Bills for 8 hours. The productivity percentage of Rajya Sabha during question hour was only 14% while Lok Sabha recorded a productivity of 87% during question hour. In the last four sessions the average productivity of Lok Sabha has been 97% while that of Rajya Sabha has been 62%.

(Source: PRS Legislative Research)
The Winter Session of Parliament adjourned sine die on December 23, 2015. Rajya Sabha Chairman Hamid Ansari, in his concluding remarks said that the session till last week had seemed singularly unproductive in terms of legislative work.

The Lok Sabha, where the BJP has a comfortable majority, worked for 115 hours - an hour extra compared to the scheduled 114 hours. The Lok Sabha was able to pass bills and function despite the disruptions because the Congress is in a minority there. It is indeed cruel on the part of Congress which doesn’t let Rajya Sabha function where it has greater numbers.

Compiled by:
Shubhendu Anand
Research Associate, SPMRF

*Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee Research Foundation*
Energy Diplomacy Coup for the Modi Government, Qatar halves gas price, waives India’s Rs 12,000 cr liability

In a major energy diplomacy coup for the Modi government, Qatar has nearly halved the price of gas it sells under a 25-year contract and waived a payment liability of Rs 12,000 crore arising from India’s refusal to import the committed number of shipments in 2015 under the ‘take-or-pay’ clause. Petronet LNG, India’s largest importer of gas in ships, and Qatar’s RasGas have now agreed to a new pricing formula that would see gas price dropping to $6-7 per unit from the original $12-13. India is the second liquid gas buyer after China to have successfully renegotiated a long-term contract in tune with the changed reality of slump in prices and supply glut in the global energy market. The new deal which has been renegotiated by Oil ministry under Dharmendra Pradhan is a “win-win” outcome of “statesmanship” shown by the top leaders of the two countries. A consensus on need to reopen the deal in the backdrop of low global oil and gas prices emerged during Qatari Emir Sheikh Tamim bin Hamad Al-Thani’s visit in March 2015.

The new formula is linked to Brent crude and would entail a saving of Rs 4,700 crore annually for the fertiliser industry alone, the biggest consumer of liquid gas. A lower fuel cost would automatically reduce the government’s fertiliser subsidy. Its impact on power tariffs would be felt because there also consumers who will be benefited by reduction in prices because in India, Gas-fired stations account for 7-8% of total capacity. Most of the gas-fired stations are running under special government subsidy scheme and most importantly the gas utility GAIL would stand to benefit since the lower price would prompt gas demand, raising utilisation of the utility’s pipelines which will further give a fillip to the overall economy. The main driver of the successful deal between both the countries was the realisation on both sides of India’s muscle as a buyer and Qatar’s imperative to maintain market share. In return for the renegotiation, the companies of both the countries has also signed a separate contract for one million tonne of additional gas for the remaining tenure of the deal, which has given a benefit of $15 billion by way of lower prices when they were going up in the 11 years since supplies began in 2003.
India and Egypt: Towards a Greener Economy

Bhavya Sree Ravi,
Neha Batra,
Shwetabh Ranjan

“Green Economy Initiative”, launched in late 2008 by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), aims to improve the human well-being and social equity, while significantly reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities. India and Egypt were among the 65 countries that embarked on this mission to create sustainable economies through development of green policies, technologies, and infrastructure.

Both countries have common areas of concern in their endeavour to develop greener economies. One major problem being the ever increasing population and urbanization accompanied with the colossal increase in the number of vehicles on road. For instance, as per the Delhi government website, there are 84.75 lakh private vehicles and 3.56 lakh commercial vehicles registered in Delhi up to 31 March’15. The direct results of this rise in vehicle population in the form of pollution, poor waste management, poor air quality, etc are major concerns for the government and the citizens in general. In this paper, I present three selected cases from Egypt wherein the Egyptian government has, in collaboration with international organizations, successfully implemented programs in the last 7-8 years to combat the environmental issues discussed above and their applicability in the Indian context.

“Egypt Vehicle Scrapping and Recycling Program” is a national program launched in 2009 to reduce greenhouse emissions and air pollution associated with the aging taxi vehicles in Greater Cairo. Under the program, the taxis operational for over 20 years qualify for voluntary replacements with newer models that meet Egyptian environment Law standards. The earlier government regulation, Traffic law #121 which states that the owners of mass transport vehicles older than 20 years will not be eligible for operating licenses supported the implementation of this program. The whole process takes place in two parts. In the first phase, the interested vehicle owners head to the scrapping and recycling storage site for inspection following which they are entitled to the financial incentives in exchange of the old vehicle. These incentives include tax and custom waiver for purchase of new vehicles. In the second phase, the old vehicles are recycled in a private sector facility.
selected through a tender issued by the government. Government also provides support and resources for the storage site and the compliance and monitoring of the program. While the program is set to last 28 years from the launch date, the project will most likely be mainstreamed as a continuous service.

The program runs on the public private partnership (PPP) model. Private sector partners include vehicle dealers, participating commercial banks and an insurance company. The dealers provide lower than market prices for the vehicles while the commercial banks provide lower than market interest rates on loans for buying new vehicles. In the period from 2009 to 2015, 43,000 taxis in the outer Cairo regions have been replaced through the program and an estimated 350,000-470,000 Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) will be registered over the period 2013-2018. Other benefits include CH$_4$ and N$_2$O reductions and increase in the average fuel efficiency. However, the program faces substantial systematic risk due to instability in the political and macroeconomic categories.

As discussed above, three factors common to the Egyptian Capital and Indian metropolitan cities are high population density, use of automotive vehicles as primary means of transport and transport sector being a major pollutant. Given the lack of necessary policy to deal with the aging vehicles in India, the Egyptian program provides a great model for the scrapping and recycling initiative in India. The recent government initiatives to mitigate the problem such as ban on diesel vehicles over 10 years old in Delhi issued by the National Green Tribunal seem lacklustre without the necessary incentives provided as in the Egyptian model.

The program also provides a good case for the “Cash for Clunkers” Scheme that is being developed and proposed by the Ministry of Road, Transport and Highways (MoRTH). The scheme plans to provide cash incentives upto Rs 1.5 lakh for new purchase of vehicles, with amount of benefit depending upon the use of the vehicle replaced. The plan involves setting up of 8-10 industrial units near ports like Kandla which will give certificates for accepting old vehicles and recycle vehicles from India and abroad, thus giving a boost to employment and economy.

The second Egyptian case for the development of sustainable Green Economy is the “Egypt Pollution Abatement Project”. EPAP is the largest industrial pollution abatement program in the Middle East and Africa implemented by The Egyptian Environment Affairs Agency (EEAA), presently in its third phase. It is co-financed by a consortium of International financial and development organizations including the World Bank, the European Investment Bank and the French Development Agency. The project has pioneered a sustainable mechanism
for pollution abatement by a combination of financial, technical and institutional measures. It promotes pollution abatement in industrial complexes in Alexandria and Greater Cairo areas by financing a wide range of projects that address several environmental problems through emission reduction, environmental improvement, waste water treatment, adoption of cleaner technologies and so on. These activities also benefit the firms by increasing their economic competitiveness in respect of the production efficiency, job creation, exports, marketing and corporate governance. The Financial incentives in the form of 20% grant (of the loan amount) and its linking to the company’s performance have played a great role in encouraging companies to participate in this project.

The second phase of the project, EPAP II established performance benchmarking system to strengthen the implementation and monitoring of the program. Apart from the financial assistance, institutional support improves the enforcement of environmental regulations. The third phase of EPAP expands the project with preferential treatment to the Small and medium Enterprises (SMEs) and use of alternate fuels in industries. While India also has environmental regulations in this regard, the Egyptian case provides a good example for its better implementation.

Last but not the least, “The Egypt national Cleaner Production Centre (ENCPC)” is a prime example of cooperation between the private and the public sector to follow a cleaner production approach thereby saving natural resources, reducing waste disposal and abating industrial pollution. It was established in 2005 by the Egyptian government in cooperation with the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO). The centre offers technical assistance to the Egyptian industry to achieve zero waste, integrated chemicals management, energy efficiency, etc. At the same time, it helps the companies to gain financial access by assisting them in meeting credit requirements of International Financial institutions like the World Bank, German Development Bank, etc. While the project faced a number of limitations and setbacks because of lack of concrete policies and managerial expertise, it successfully created a positive impact on the productivity and the competitiveness of the Egyptian Industries with the support of its international collaborations.

Apart from these PPP programs, a number of private initiatives in the Egyptian context have created a significant impact in combating environmental pollution and moving towards a cleaner production. “El Masreya for lead smelting, refining and fabrication” is one such organization that has created significant environmental and social benefits by re-allocating the smelters and designing efficient new facilities.
While these Egyptian success stories provide great insights for implementation of similar policies and programs in India, Environment Investment is a trend that has not been sufficiently explored in Egypt and provides an attractive future business opportunity for Indian investors.”Green development” is the need of the hour for both the nations and their collaboration in this regard holds great potential and benefits for both the parties.

(The authors are Young India Fellows 2015-16 interning with SPMRF under the ELM programme)
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Egyptian pollution abatement epap iii project, https://www.devex.com/projects/pipelines/egyptian-pollution-abatement-epap-iii-project/27242

http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/MNA/2015/06/18/090224b082f53be3/1_0/Rendered/PDF/Egypt00Arab0Re0Report000Sequence002.pdf
Sub-Saharan Africa comprises of the region below the Sahara desert in the African continent comprising of 49 countries and an approximate population of 800 million. India’s relations with the region dates back to the 19th century when Mahatma Gandhi started his civil disobedience movement for the first time in Natal against the lawful practice of racial discrimination. However, post-independence India’s relations with the region didn’t make much progress. The relations were stagnant in the post-cold war era but strengthened because the problems being faced by both India and Sub-Saharan region were similar like poor economy and trade, population, health and education. This led to strong economic (natural resource and market opportunities) and political ties (strategic partnership, India’s global ambitions) between the two regions.

As per a recent report by Deloitte, Sub-Saharan Africa is forecast to be the fastest growing region in the world over the next five years as measured by the projected GDP growth rates. The trade between India and Sub-Saharan Africa stood at $60 billion in 2012. However, it was still far below that of the EU ($567.2 billion), the U.S. ($446.7 billion), and China ($220 billion). Nevertheless, India has shown great interest in its engagement with this region through a wide range of activities, including investment programs and development aid. While there is a wide scope of investment in a number of sectors in this region including manufacturing, power, education, and so on but our area of immediate concern is the power sector in this region and its potential for Indian investments.

One of the major challenges that face the region of Sub-Saharan Africa today is that of power generation. According to a survey done by McKinsey in February 2015, South Africa has 13% of the world’s population and 48% of the global population without electricity. The only other region facing similar imbalance is South Asia with 23% of world’s population and 34% of the people without access to electricity. Thus, almost 600 million people in Africa are without electricity. The only seven countries in the Sub-Saharan region with electricity access rate exceeding 50% is Cameroon, Cote D’Ivoire, Gabon, Ghana, Namibia, Senegal and South Africa. Even the consumption rate is 150 Kilowatt-hours per capita, much lower than India and Brazil, the other two countries in the IBSA (India, Brazil and South Africa) forum. Such conditions of lack of electricity cause major damage to the economy and
The major reason behind the power shortage in Africa lies in the lack of infrastructure. The region’s plans to develop its industries are purely based on the amount of power it will generate in the future. According to the same McKinsey report, the power consumption in Sub-Saharan region with the proposed plan of development of industries will be around 1600 terawatt hours by 2040 which is 4 times of that in 2010. These figures are based on a fivefold increase in GDP, doubling of population, electricity access levels reaching 70% and increased urbanisation. Also, with these estimated consumption levels, the estimated increase in power generation is only 70-80% under the current plans of increasing power generation. Thus there is a huge gap between the projected demand and supply of power in this region that creates immense potential for foreign investment in this sector.

The potential of the estimated power generation vary across different types of power.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Power generating capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>10 terawatts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>400 gigawatts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>350 gigawatts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>300 gigawatts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>109 gigawatts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>15 gigawatts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gas would account for more than 40 percent of the electricity generated from 2020 onward, with hydro remaining a very important technology. Solar would take off significantly after 2030, representing 8 percent of the generation mix by 2040 and more than 30 percent of capacity additions between 2030 and 2040. Even in the absence of active incentives, more than 25 percent of total energy in 2040 would come from clean sources—geothermal, hydro, solar, and wind—compared with 21 percent today, almost all of which is from hydroelectric sources. The average levelized cost of energy generated would be about $70 per megawatt-hour. If the countries in this region are to build the required set up for generation of power it would cost around $490 billion and another $345 billion for transmission and distribution.

This is where India can collaborate with Africa. Prime Minister Narendra Modi recently said that solar energy is vital for the energy security of India. During his visit to the US, he also said that India is working towards the use of clean sources of energy. With the upcoming India-Africa summit towards the end of October this could be a potential aspect of collaboration within the two nations. Indian power generation companies can invest and start operating in Sub Saharan region. There are already a lot of Indian
Companies in South Africa and the Sub Saharan region which are into Electrical and Electronic manufacturing with a $ 2.5 billion export. With Indian companies entering the African power generating sector, it can be mutually beneficial. TATA is already in negotiations for entering the power generation market of Africa. Vulnerable Energy Solutions Director Mayank Garg recently said that the Indian power sector is facing a serious threat with the coal depleting. So, by entering into that market a proposal for mutual consent can be the import of coal on a low tariff trade barrier and in return Indian companies can use their technology to set up power generation plants.

In conclusion, we argue that the Indo-Africa forum should seriously discuss the potential investments by Indian power manufacturing companies. The technology possessed by Indian power sector companies can be very helpful in successfully tapping the natural resources available in the Sub Saharan region to generate power and lead to the growth of industries. The returns to these companies will be enormous in terms of revenues as demand for power will grow manifolds in the next 25 years as we’ve discussed earlier. By investing now, the companies will have an edge as the rates at which power will be supplied will be lower because of the lower cost of operations right now. These costs will eventually increase making the supply more expensive. Thus tapping into the sub Saharan Africa’s power sector will be the best move towards a mutual beneficial relation and stronger ties.
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At times history has not been fair enough while judging individual personalities, social movements and assessment of political situations. Most often, the level of ‘intellectual honesty’ has failed while analyzing the contributions made by national leaders during the freedom struggle and often some have been ‘outcast’ in an attempt to justify a certain definite set of theoretical prepositions. The reference to his belief that “history has not been kind to Sardar Patel” by Prime Minister Modi while addressing the gathering on ‘run for unity’ day in New Delhi on 31 October 2014 was a pointer to this habit. In addition, this too has been a case with Pandit Madan Mohan Malviya.

The decision of the Union Government to confer ‘Bharat Ratna’ on Malviya generated a renewed interest in scholarship about his contribution in freedom struggle and as a social reformer. It has brought forth a serious desire to understand his contribution and its significance for the Indian national movement.

While so called ‘left liberal historians’ put no less effort to portray Malviya as a Hindu nationalist led by A.G. Noorani’s account in Frontline Magazine in his cover story ‘Portrait of a Propagandist’. (Vol: 3, Issue: 01, 23-01-2015) At this time the well written and timely produced volume on Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya and the Formative Years of Indian Nationalism by Dr. Vishwanath Pandey looks into the interesting fact of key role played by Padit Malaviya in India’s freedom movement and dwells on the sound facts to build intellectual arguments for a national leader. Adding to the author’s credibility, the foreword by noted historian Dr. Mushirul Hasan, makes a suggestion for compulsory reading of the book.

In its very fundamental argument the author argues against the tendency of dividing national leaders as ‘nationalist’ and ‘Hindu nationalists’. He refers to the significant writings of T. N. Madan, Ashis Nandy and Bipin Chandra to argue that the very basis on which these divisions have been made is false. He interestingly points that this oversimplification has not taken in account the inherent diversity of opinion and political orientations of India’s nationalists. For example, while Raja Ram Mohan Roy has all praised for ‘positives’ of colonial rule, Lokmanya Tilak was candid in his observation of Congress practicing ‘political mendicancy’.

In his opening remarks in the chapter Introduction and Life Sketch, author refers to different historians of Malviya’s
key role in freedom struggle. He covers the evolution of his personality as a national leader from graduation days to practicing law, journalism and becoming member of provincial legislative council in 1903.

The next chapters include his key speeches delivered from Congress and Hindu Mahasabha platforms, and also in his capacity as a legislator. Pointing to the fact of its greatest contribution for social reform, the author refers to his remarkable speech on ‘abolition of indentured labour’ at the meeting of the Imperial Council held on March 20, 1916. It may be argued that this makes Pandit Malviya a forerunner of ‘labour rights movements’ in India and the related issue of child labour.

Pandit Malviya forcefully opposed the Press Bill in April, 1910 and stood out against Seditious Meeting Act. He argued for holding civil service exams in India and supported Gokhale’s motion on education. The readings refers to his key speeches on proper rural sanitation and empowerment through education. In this chapter the focus is on Malaviya’s philosophy of education that was directed towards the total development of the persons mind and body.

Author points out in his book that Pandit Malaviya did not oppose India’s Muslims. In one of his key speeches in the Hindu Mahasabha, he reminded of religious harmony and said that “no single community can run over the rest.” At Punjab Hindu Sammelan in 1923, Malaviya said that only a religiously oriented society would unite the Indians as a nation and allow them to make progress. However, affirming his nationalist belief he stood hard against communal electorates as it harmed national unity by creating social divisiveness.

His convocation address in 1929 of BHU gives an excellent reading of his mind on education and its objectives. Author quotes from one of its speeches, “India was a country where the importance accorded to education was not new, what was new was the need for compulsory education”. The last chapter includes his last message delivered on 1, November 1946 to reflect his mind of being misunderstood by some people.

In sum, the book is a sincere attempt to summarize the personality and thought of Pandit Malviya, relying on primary sources in order to bring a rational and academic account of things at large. It clears the dust on some issues related to his ideological orientation and brings a fair account of what is written in the foreword by Dr. Hasan, that “he held Gandhiji in high esteem; the Mahatma, too, thought of him as a patriot”.


(The reviewer is a Doctoral Candidate, School of International Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi.)
बाल्ली विश्वविद्यालय में राजनीति विज्ञान की प्रचार्य डॉ रितु कोहली की रचनाशीलता भारतीय संस्कृति की मूल्य बोध माननीय सरोकार और राष्ट्रवाद की भावना का मिला—जुला स्वरूप है। उनकी रचना में मजबूत भारतीय परंपरा के सारे रंग देखने को मिलता है। ये हमेशा समाज के सामने और अपने पाठकों को कुछ अलग प्रदान करने की कोष्ठक करती हैं। यह यही विशेषता उनको एक विशिष्ट लेखक का दर्जा प्रदान करता है। हाल ही में उनकी एक पुस्तक प्रकाशित हुई जिसका शीर्षक “डॉ. श्यामा प्रसाद मुखर्जी और कश्मीर समस्या” है। यह पुस्तक 316 पृष्ठों की है। यह पुस्तक कश्मीर समस्याओं की मूल में जाकर उसका मूल्यांकन करती है, उन कारणों को अपने पाठकों तक पहुँचाने की कोशिश करती है जिसके बजाय से कश्मीर समस्या भारत के लिए ही नहीं बल्कि पूरे दक्षिण एशिया के लिए असाध्य का कारण बना हुआ है। लेखक द्वारा उन सारी राजनीतिक और कुटनीतिक विवादों और उसके कारणों का भरपूर विश्लेषण किया गया है, जिसके बजाय से कश्मीर समस्या उत्पन्न हुई। यह पुस्तक एक ऐसा दस्तावेज है जो उन हर पहलुओं को उजागर करता है जो कश्मीर समस्या की मूल जड़ है। पुस्तक में डॉ. श्यामा प्रसाद मुखर्जी के संघर्षों की गाथा है। उनके प्रयासों का बहुत ही जीवंत और सुंदर तरीके से वर्णन किया गया है।

डॉ. मुखर्जी के मन में खड़ी भारत को लेकर एक पीढ़ा थी जो उनको हमेशा विवालित करती थी। जब देश आज़ाद हुआ तब पाकिस्तान का छल प्रंत और तत्कालीन सरकार की उदासीनता भारत को कश्मीर से अलग कर रही थी। जिसको देखकर डॉ. मुखर्जी हमेशा व्यक्तित्व रहते थे। इस पुस्तक में स्पष्ट किया गया है कि भारत को आज़ादी से पहले ही जम्मू-कश्मीर मिला का अवसर मिला था। जब कश्मीर को पाकिस्तान में मिलने की अंगेजों की चाल असफल हो गई, तो उन्होंने इसे स्वतंत्र देश बनाने का षड्यंत्र रचना प्रारम्भ किया वास्तव में 1951 में जो ब्रिटिश अमेरिकन षड्यंत्र चले, उनमें शेख अबुल्ला उनका मोहर बना। आर्टिकल 370 का मकसद जम्मू-कश्मीर को कोई विश्वेस दर्जा देना नहीं था। यह शेख की चालबाजी थी जिसके कारण अनुच्छेद 370 का संविधानिक दूरपोषण करने के जम्मू-कश्मीर को भारत से अलग-थलग करने का प्रयास प्रारम्भ हुआ।
भ्रम-कश्मीर के लेखक रामराम मुखर्जी ने इस कृति का विशेष ध्यान दिया। जम्मू-कश्मीर का लेखक रामराम मुखर्जी बहुत स्पष्ट थे। उन्होंने कहा कि यह बुधन में जन एक गलती है, दुनिया की महत्वपूर्ण जगह हमको कुछ देने वाली नहीं है, दुनिया से हमको कोई व्यायां मिलने वाला नहीं हैं। हमको इस चक्कर में पड़ने की जगहत नहीं है। दूसरी बात यह कहा करते है कि आप अगर कुछ विशेष अवधिकार देने की चाहत हैं तो संविधान संशोधन करिए। आप दो लोग इत्तिहासी बैठक करके, समझोता करने लागू करना चाहते हैं, यह मत करिए। संसद में आए, टिकट रखें, आप चर्चा कीजिए। देश के बाकी लोग कश्मीर में जाकर बस नहीं सकते हमारा संविधान क्या इसकी आज्ञा देता है। लेकिन वस्तव में नहरु जी वे विदेश नहीं करना चाहते थें। पुस्तक अध्ययन से पता चलता है कि डॉ. मुखर्जी ने कश्मीर समस्या पर सीधे आंदोलन नहीं किया उन्होंने एक जिम्मेदार विभाजन की भूमिका निभाते हुए पहले पत्र व्यक्तियों के बीच व्यक्तियों का व्यक्ति किया। आल पार्टी हॉटेलिंग, शेख अब्दुल्ला को बुलाने, प्रजा परिषद के लोगों को बुलाने के आदेश में 50 उनके प्रशासन चलते गए। लेकिन कोई पत्रिका सिफारिश नहीं निकला तो आखिर में डॉ. मुखर्जी का एक अधिकार निर्धारित करना पड़ा। और यह आंदोलन डॉ. मुखर्जी के जीवन का अंतिम आंदोलन साबित हुआ।

पुस्तक में बताया गया है कि देश को 1947 में आजादी मिली थी, ट्रांसफर ऑफ पापुलेशन स्वीकार नहीं किया था। हमने दो देश बनाए थे। जनसेंस्कार बांटवारा नहीं स्वीकार किया था। नेहरू जी ने 1947 में देश की आजादी के प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार करते हुए आश्वासन दिया था कि वहां के अन्यसंख्य हिन्दुओं के लिए तीन वर्ष कार प्राप्त करेंगे। हमें अपनी इस जिम्मेदारी से बचना नहीं चाहिये, जिस आजादी की लड़ाई हम लड़ रहे थे, उसमें उनका योगदान हमसे कम नहीं है। अगर हम उन हिन्दुओं की ओर से आख बूढ़े लेंगे तो यह तांत्रिक होगी। वस्तुतः में हमें मुखर्जी की बहुत स्पष्ट नीतियाँ थीं, अगर हर नीतियाँ के अनुसार देश चला होता तो पाकिस्तान, बांग्लादेश के अंदर अप्सरस्याँको का कोई बाल बी बांका नहीं कर सकता था। उन्होंने ध्यान दिलाया कि जम्मू-कश्मीर का प्रस्ताव केवल पाक अधिक कश्मीर का प्रस्ताव है। डॉ. मुखर्जी ने कश्मीर का बलिदान नहीं है। 1947 में अंकुछढ़ 370 का संविधानिक दुरुपयोग है जो 14 मई 1954 के आर्डर से हुआ।

इन तबोंके अलावा भी बहुत सारे अनुप्रयुक्ततूक थै है इस पुस्तक में जो हमें कश्मीर समस्या के मूल में ले जाता है, हमें उन गलतियों से सख्त करना है जो तत्कालीन सरकार ने की थी। एक तरफ से यह पुस्तक कश्मीर पर पूर्ण रूप से शोध रचता है जिसके अध्ययन के बिनाक हम कश्मीर को न जान सकते हैं और ना ही उसकी समस्याओं का समझ सकते हैं।
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भारतीय मुसलमान नहीं बनेगा...

विश्व के कट्टरपंथी मुसलमानों की कठपुतली

एक मनोवैज्ञानिक विश्लेषण

अरुण कुमार जैन (इंजीनियर)

तांत्रिक तीर्थकों ने भारत में असहिष्णुता को लेकर काफी चर्चा दी है। असहिष्णुता के मामले में सच्चाई बता है, यह एक बहस का विषय है। इस संबंध में तमाम लोग अपने-अपने नजरिये से चर्चा करने में लगे हैं किंतु इसके तर्क भारतीय मुसलमानों की बात है तो वे अच्छी तरह जानते एवं समझते हैं कि सच्चाई बता है? भारत का पूरा मुसलम समाज यह कहता है कि मुसलमानों के लिए भारत से सुरक्षित थान पूरी को एवं भी नहीं है। हो सकता है कि चंद गिने-जुने लोगों को भारत सुरक्षित नहीं लगता हो किंतु बहुसंख्य मुसलम समाज भारत का अपने लिए दुनिया में सबसे अधिक शुद्ध बाता देश मानता है, विशेषकर उन प्रदेशों में मुसलम समाज के लिए रिश्तियों और अनुकूल है जहां भाजपा एवं उसकी सामरिक सरकार हैं क्योंकि वे यह बताते हैं कि भाजपा उन्हें खुश करने एवं अपनी तरफ आकर्षित करने के लिए कुछ भी करेंगी। वैसे भी देखा जाये तो यह स्थापित है कि किसी भी घटना को आधार बनाकर भाजपा को हमेशा एकसी भावना सामान्य घोषित करने का प्रयास निरंतर होता रहता है जबकि बहुसंख्य मुसलम समाज चाहता है कि वाय-बाय भाजपा को वेंकट पायल वाले के लिए न कहा या जाए।

भारतीय जनता पार्टी भी इस बात को लेकर हमेशा दरटी रहती है कि मुसलम समाज कहीं उससे नाराज न हो जाये।

यही कारण है कि भारतीय जनता पार्टी की सरकार एवं उसके नेताओं के बयानों, नीतियों एवं योजनाओं में अल्पसंख्यक समाज को खुश करने के प्रयास देखने को मिलते रहते हैं। इस कारण कभी-कभी देखने को मिलता है कि बहुसंख्यक समाज की उपेक्षा भी हो जाती है। बहुसंख्यक समाज के हितों की कीमत पर भाजपा एवं उसकी सामरिक अल्पसंख्यक समाज को संतुष्ट करने की नीति पर चलती रहती है।

इन्हीं सब कारणों से भारतीय जनता पार्टी एवं उसके द्वारा सामान्यता सरकारों का दोहन एवं शोषण भी होता रहता है। अल्पसंख्यक समाज को खुश करने के लिए भाजपा नेता एवं सरकारों शोषित भी हो रही है। इन सब कारों के बावजूद जब कभी राष्ट्रीय एवं अंतरराष्ट्रीय स्तर पर कोई घटना होती है तो देश के प्रतिशाश एवं कट्टरपंथी लोग इस भाव का
प्रकटीकरण कर ही देते हैं कि वे भारत में निहायत ही असुरक्षित हैं जबकि वे यह अच्छी तरह जानते-समझते हैं कि पूरी दुनिया में भारत से सुरक्षित स्थान उनके लिए कहीं भी नहीं है। उसमें भी सबसे प्रमुख बात यह है कि जब भाजपा का शासन होता है तो अत्यंत संघर्षक समाज के लिए स्वर्णिम काल जैसा होता है। संभवतः यही कारण है कि भारत में पाकिस्तान एवं आईएस का झंडा लहराने वालों के खिलाफ कोई कार्रवाई नहीं की जाती है। इससे अधिक सुरक्षा की गारंटी और व्याप्ती हो सकती है?

यह भी संभव है कि कुछ लोग सेक्युलर ताकतों के सूर में सूर मिलाने के लिए, अपनी नेताजी चमकाने के लिए या फिर अपने आप को हाइलाइट करने के लिए कहते हैं कि भारत उनके लिए दितना असुरक्षित है और यहां असहिष्णुता चरम पर है किंतु सच्चाई से वे पूरी तरह वाकिफ हैं। आज नहीं तो कल सच्चाई पूरी दुनिया के सम्बन्ध आकर रहेगी। असुरक्षित होने का बयान देने की जो होड़—सी लगी है, उसका भी पटकशेष होकर ही रहेगा।

आने वाले समय में भारतीय जनता पार्टी को राष्ट्रवादी मुसलमानों के साथ मिलकर इस संगठन में गहन चिंतन करना होगा और अत्याचारक समाज के बीच जो भी ताकतें असंतोष पैदा करने में लगी हैं, उन्हें बेनकाब करना होगा, अन्यथा अराजकता का वातावरण निर्मित करने में त्यागित संकेत ताकतें कोई कोई कसर नहीं छोड़ेंगी। इसके लिए भारतीय जनता पार्टी एवं मुस्लिम समाज दोनों को सतर्क रहना होगा। दादरी कांड पर आजम खान संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ को पत्र लिखते हैं तो आमिर खान को देश में ऊर लगता है जबकि मैं दादरी के साथ कह सकता हूँ कि देश का अधिकांश मुस्लिम समाज ऐसे लोगों के विचारों से इतकाक नहीं रखता। जम्मू-कश्मीर में पाकिस्तान के झंडे लहराये जाते हैं, आईएस की गतिविधियां दस्तक दे रही हैं,
हिन्दुस्तानी युवकों को आईएस में खींचने की कोशिशें जारी हैं किंतु सब कुछ जानते हुए भी भाजपा एवं उसकी समर्थकें ऐसे साराजक तत्त्वों के खिलाफ कोई भी कार्यवाह करने से इसलिए कठिनाई हैं कि असहिष्णुता एवं सांप्रदायिकता पर बहस तेज हो जायेगी।

देश के तथाकथित धर्मनिरपेक्ष दलों, नेताओं एवं बुद्धिजीवियों को अच्छी तरह पता है कि भारतीय मुसलमान ऐसा नहीं चाहता है और इस प्रकार की सिद्धांतों को रोकने में हमेशा प्रयासरत भी रहता है। देश के चंद कटरपंथी एवं पश्चिम के चरमपंथी हमेशा इस प्रयास में लगे रहते हैं कि भाजपा का भय दिखाकर किसी भी सूअर में भारत के मुसलमानों को उल्लोहित किया जाये। अब वक्त आ गया है कि सांप्रदायिकता बनाम धर्मनिरपेक्षता पर गंभीरता से विचार किया जाये।

हमारे देश के कटरपंथीयों को लगता है कि सांप्रदायिकता के नाम पर भारतीय मुसलमानों को उल्लोहित एवं आक्रोशित किया जा सकता है। उनको बहला-फुसलाकर उनका प्रयास उसके लिए सक्षम होता है। निरंतर इस प्रकार का प्रयास होते रहने के कारण समाज में चंद लोग गेहूं में धुन की तरह काम करते रहते हैं। हो सकता है कि कुछ लोगों की दाल-रोटी इसी राह पर चलते हुए ही संभव है, किंतु यह सब कब तक चलेगा? इस पर बेहद गंभीरता से विचार करने की आवश्यकता है।

जो भी लोग सांप्रदायिकता का भय दिखाकर उसका बेजा फायदा उठाने की किराक में हैं, राजनीति सामने आने पर उन्हें बेनकाब होना पड़ेगा। अमेरिका में हवाई एयरलाइंस में इस प्रकार के लोकों को जताना होता है जो व्यवहार किया जाता है उसके खिलाफ कोई प्रतिक्रिया नहीं होती। पेरिस में आतंकी हमले के बाद अमेरिकी राष्ट्रपति बराक ओबामा ने कहा कि मुस्लिम समाज के धार्मिक नेताओं आतंकवाद एवं आतंकी घटनाओं के खिलाफ प्रतिक्रिया देने एवं उसकी नियंत्रण करने में कोई भी व्यवहार नहीं होता है। कांग्रेस नेता डॉ. शकील अहमद का बयान आता है कि आंध्र बर्ड डों छोटा राजन एवं उन्का प्रमुख अनूप वैलियाऽ यदि मुसलमान
होते तो उनके साथ न जाने कैसा व्यवहार किया जाता? डॉ. शक्ति अभिमन्यु को यह गंभीरता से विचार करना चाहिए कि क्या अमेरिका एवं यूरोपीय देशों में कोई आईएस के झंडे हरकार खुलेआम धूम सकता है। आखिर अब कितनी आज्ञाती चाहिए?
पैरिस की घटना पर आज्ञान खान कहते हैं कि यदि यह ऐक्शन का रिएक्शन है तो इस बात पर विचार
करना चाहिए।

देश के जो तथाकथित धर्मनिरपेक्ष दल हैं, वह ये यह बताने की कोशिश करेंगे कि भाजपा
के शासन के पहले भारत में दंगे नहीं होते थे।
यदि होते थे तो दंगाईयों के खिलाफ कारवाई
नहीं होती थी। यदि कहीं कोई दंगा होता है तो
वह उसमें एक ही विशेष समुदाय के लोगों की
जान जाती है। कांग्रेस में बाद आई तो प्रधानमंत्री
नरेंद्र मोदी ने जिस तीव्रता एवं तनावमयता से काम
किया, उससे उनकी पूरी दुनिया में तारीफ हुई।
राज्य सरकार जो कुछ भी चाहती थी, उसे खुदरा
सरकार ने पूरा करने का प्रयास किया।
जम्मू–कश्मीर में जिस दल को तथाकथित
सेनापतियों द्वारा अलगाववादियों का समर्थन
मानते हैं, राज्य के विकास के लिए भाजपा ने उस दल
cे साथ मिलकर सरकार बनाई। आखिर इससे
अधिक भाजपा और कौन–सी अभिनन्दी देश
सकती है?

यदि यह मान लिया जाये कि भाजपा वास्तविक
रूप से सही को सही और गलत को गलत बोलने
की स्थिति में नहीं है तो और दल एवं देश के
बुद्धिजीवियों का कर रहे हैं?
संगठित एआर.
राहमन के खिलाफ फतवा जारी किया गया तो तब
वक्ते नहीं कहा गया कि लोकतंत्र में सबको अपनी
बात कहने का हक है। फतवा जारी करने वालों
को एआर.
राहमन से बात करनी चाहिए। आखिर
उस समय सत्य वचन बोलने वाले कहां चले गये
थे?

भारतीय राजनीति एवं समाज में इस प्रकार के
जो दोहरे मापदंड अपनाये जा रहे हैं, यह देश के
लिए ठीक नहीं है। निश्चित रूप से कहा जा
सकता है कि यदि इस प्रकार के बदले पर तत्काल
रोक नहीं लगी तो आरोपकता का वातावरण निर्मित
होने से कोई रोक नहीं सकता। भारतीय जनता
पार्टी की बात की जाये तो यदि वह इस विषय पर
बोलेंगे तो उसे सांप्रदायिक घोषित कर दिया
जाएगा। मुंबई में ताज होटल पर हमले के समय
जिन लोगों को कोई भय नहीं महसूस हुआ था,
अचानक उनके मन में खोफ कैसे पैदा हो गया?
अब वे अचानक अपने आप को क्यों इतना असुरक्षित
महसूस करने लगे? क्या भाजपा आतंकी समाजों
से अधिक खतरनाक है? सांप्रदायिकता के अभियान
से मुक्त होने के लिए भाजपा एवं उसकी सरकार
सचाई से कब तक मुंह मोड़ती रहगीं? देश के
मुर्सिल समाज को चाहिए कि वे चाहे क्यों तब के
संस्कृति को नाकाम करने के लिए उनकी असती
औरत कर दें। पैरिस हमले के बाद जिस प्रकार
देश के तामाम मुर्सिल समाजों, बुद्धिजीवियों, धार्मिक
गुरुओं ने आतंकवाद और आतंकी समाजों की मिश्र
की एवं उनके खिलाफ प्रशिक्षण किया। इससे
कटरपियैं तवद्या यह समझ लेना चाहिए कि वे
अपने संस्कृति में किसी भी कीमत पर कमयाब नहीं
हो सकते।
कटरपियैं तवद्या जितना भी प्रयास कर
ते, कितनों इतना निश्चित रूप से कहा जा सकता है
कि भारत का मुसलमान विश्व के कटरपियैं
मुसलमानों के हस्तों की कठिनता नहीं बनाएगा।
इसके लिए देश के प्रत्येक नागरिक को जिम्मेदारी
बनती है कि राष्ट्र की एकता एवं अर्थव्यवस्था के लिए
काम करे क्योंकि अराजकता का महात्मा उत्पन्न
होने पर सबसे अधिक कष्ट आप आदर्श की ही
उठाना पड़ता है। यह भी अपने आप में सूक्ष्मति
है कि देश सुरक्षित रहेगा तो हम सभी सुरक्षित
होंगे तो आइंग हम सभी मिलकर राष्ट्र को और
अधिक सुरक्षित और मजबूत बनाने के लिए काम
करें।

(लेखक राम–जनमूलः न्यास से पूर्व
दृष्टि हैं)
किशोरों को सुरक्षा कवच : अमित शाह

रत में आज्ञादी के बाद यह पहला मौका है जब किसी सरकार ने किशोरों के हितों को लेकर इतना बड़ा और महत्वपूर्ण केसला लिया है। पहली बार किशोरों की किसी बीमा योजना को खेत में फसलों की बुवाई से लेकर खरीदारी तक विस्तुत किया गया है। इसी महीने जारी की गई प्रधानमंत्री फसल बीमा योजना के दायरे को इतना व्यापक रूप से लिया गया है कि किशोरों को प्राकृतिक आपत्ति से फसल नष्ट होने पर भी नुकसान उठाना नहीं पड़े।

प्रधानमंत्री नरेंद्र मोदी के नेतृत्व में लिया गया सरकार का यह केसला सामाजिक और राजनीतिक दृष्टि से इतना महत्वपूर्ण है कि मोदी अपने माहौल के लिए विवादों के लिए कहा जाता है।

यह इसलिए भी महत्वपूर्ण है क्योंकि इसके माध्यम से पुरानी योजनाओं की जटिलता के जाल से निकालकर किशोरों को नया तंत्र दिया जा सकता है। बीमा के दायरे की शीघ्र बुरागत की प्रक्रिया को आत्महत्या के द्वारा बहुत ही सरल कर दिया गया है। प्रधानमंत्री ने किशोर भाइयों और उनके परिवारों को लोकहर, पोंगल और बी०प० के अवसर पर फसल बीमा योजना का तोहफा दिया है, जो किशोरों की जिंदगी बदल देगा। इस योजना की खास बात यह है कि इसमें फसल बीमा को मौजूदा 23 फीसद से बढ़ाकर 50 फीसद किशोरों तक पहुँचाने का लक्ष्य तय किया गया है। हालांकि केंद्र के भाजपा सरकार ने इसके लिए देसी करोड़ रुपये खर्च करने होंगे, लेकिन भारतीय जनता पार्टी और उसकी सरकार अपने हितों के लिए बच्चों को भी हीरो नहीं देती है।

यह इसलिए भी महत्वपूर्ण है क्योंकि इसके माध्यम से अधिकांश बीमारी की रक्षा की गई है। किशोर के दायरे की शीघ्र बुरागत की प्रक्रिया को आत्महत्या के द्वारा बहुत ही सरल कर दिया गया है। इसके लिए किशोरों की सामाजिक और राजनीतिक दृष्टि से इतना महत्वपूर्ण है कि मोदी अपने माहौल के लिए विवादों के लिए कहा जाता है।

जनता पार्टी और उसकी सरकार किशोरों के हितों के लिए वचनबद्ध है और इसके लिए धन की कमी नहीं होने दी जाएगी। अपने राज्य सरकारों को अपने राज्यों में किशोरों की मदद के लिए इतनी ही हार्श का समाधान करना होगा। मोदी सरकार की इस नई योजना का फायदा देश के करोड़ों किशोरों को होगा। इस भावजोह से इस एक ऐतिहासिक फसला माना जा सकता है। इस देश के किशोरों के समस्त विकास के बारे में न तो अब पर कुछ किया गया और न ही इस बारे में व्यापकता से चुके बिछाया किया गया। यह पहला मौका है जब मोदी सरकार किशोरों के समृद्धि को लेकर काम कर रही है। किशोरों का भविष्य और उनकी समृद्धि सीधे उनकी फसल की सुरक्षा से
राष्ट्रपति का 15 प्रतिशत तक प्रीमियम चुकाना होता था, लेकिन इस योजना के तहत इसे बेहद कम कर दिया गया है। सभी फसलों के लिए प्रीमियम का राशि बढ़ा देता सीधा और खरीफ फसलों के लिए दो फीसद देनी होगी। इसके अलावा इस योजना में से सरकार ने कंपनी का प्राथमिक भी पूरी तरह हटा लिया है। पूर्व में कंपनी की वजह कई कार किसानों को 50 प्रतिशत तक का प्रीमियम देना पड़ जाता था। अब इस बदलाव का पूरा लाभ किसानों को मिलेगा। कम प्रीमियम में ज्यादा जोखिम करना होगा और किसानों को ज्यादा सहयोग मिलेगी।

पिछली सरकारों की फसल बीमा योजना के मुकाबले नई प्राथमिक फसल बीमा योजना कई मामलों में बेहतर है। सबसे पहले तो इसे निम्न की जटिलता से बाहर निकाला गया है। अब न तो इसे समझने में किसानों को ज्यादा मस्त मारने करनी होगी और न ही क्लेन लेने वक्र निम्न की जटिलता से जुड़ने की आवश्यकता होगी। पूर्व की फसल बीमा योजना के मुकाबले नई योजना में सबसे बड़ा लाभ कम प्रीमियम में अधिक बनाए रखा राशि किसानों के लाभ हुआ। संग्रह सरकार के वक्त लागू हुई बीमा योजना में 2300 रुपये के प्रीमियम के सुभाष पर सी फीसद फसल खराब होने का दाय करने पर 19000 रुपये का क्लेन मिलता था, लेकिन इस नई योजना में किसानों को 30000 रुपये तक का क्लेन मिलेगा। और इसके लिए केवल 1500 रुपये का ही प्रीमियम किसानों को देना होगा। जैसा कि मैंने ऊपर कहा है कि यह योजना इसलिए महत्वपूर्ण है, क्योंकि इसके दायरे में खेत से लेकर खलीलाह तक को समेटा गया है। फसल की बुराई के बाद में होने वाले नुकसान को भी इसमें शामिल कर लिया गया है। सरकार ने इस बात का भी इंतजाम किया है कि बीमा के क्लेन के लिए किसानों को लंबे समय तक इंतजार न करना पड़े। प्राकृतिक आपदा के तुरंत बाद 25 प्रतिशत क्लेन संबंधित किसानों के बैंक खाते में सीधे पहुंच जाएगा। प्राकृतिक आपदाओं से होने वाले नुकसान के साथ इस योजना के दायरे के बाद तथा वापस बनाने वाले इसमें स्थानीय आपदाओं को भी जोड़ा लिया गया है। ओलावृति, वेयोमिंग की बारिश, आंध्र तृणां और जल भरड़ से स्थानीय रतर पर होने वाले नुकसान पर भी बीमा का भुगतान होगा। इस योजना का सबसे ज्यादा लाभ पूर्व उच्च प्रदर्श, बुंदेलखंड, बिहार, मराठवाड़ा, तटीय आंध्र प्रदेश और तटीय ओडिशा के किसानों को मिलेगा। इस तरह वे किसान पूरी तरह सुरक्षित हो जाएंगे जिनकी खेती किसानी ज्यादा जोखिम में रहती है। बैंक विश्वास छोटे भी सरकार किसानों और जनता के हितों को ध्यान में रखकर आगे भी इस तरह के कदम उठाने रहेगी। एक महत्वपूर्ण फसल बीमा योजना शुरू करने के लिए मैं व्यक्तिगत रूप से प्राथमिक को ध्यानदायक देता हूँ। पहले आम जनता के लिए समाजिक सुरक्षा की स्टोले और अब किसानों के लिए फसल बीमा योजना देश के संपूर्ण जनता को सुरक्षित और समाजित जीवन व्यस्त करने का अवसर दान करेंगी। प्राथमिक मंत्री मोदी के नेतृत्व में चल रही कंप्यूटर की भाजपा सरकार का मुख्य ध्येय जनता के हितों की ख्या करना है, जिसके लिए पार्टी और सरकार, दोनों वचनबद्ध हैं।

“The gigantic task of reconstruction, cultural, social, economic and political can be rendered possible through coordinated efforts of bands of trained and disciplined Indians. Armed with the knowledge of India's past glory and greatness, her strength and weakness, it is they who can place before their country a programme of work, which while loyal to the fundamental traditions of India civilisation will be adapted to the changing conditions of the modern world."

- Dr. Syama Prasad Mookerjee
Convocation Address delivered at Gurukul Kangri Viswavidyalaya, Haridwar, 1943